P. J. ŠAFÁRIK UNIVERSITY
FACULTY OF SCIENCE
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
Jesenná 5, 041 54 Košice, Slovakia

S. Cichacz and M. Horňák

Decomposition of bipartite graphs into closed trails

IM Preprint, series A, No. 2/2007
February 2007

Decomposition of bipartite graphs into closed trails*

Sylwia Cichacz

AGH University of Science and Technology

Al. Mickiewicza 30, 30-059 Kraków, Poland, cichacz@agh.edu.pl

Mirko Horňák

P.J. Šafárik University

Jesenná 5, 040 01 Košice, Slovakia, mirko.hornak@upjs.sk

Abstract. Let $\mathrm{Lct}(G)$ denote the set of all lengths of closed trails that exist in an even graph G. A sequence $(t_1, \dots, t_p)$ of terms of $\mathrm{Lct}(G)$ adding up to $|E(G)|$ is G-realizable provided there is a sequence $(T_1, \dots, T_p)$ of pairwise edge-disjoint closed trails in G such that T_i is of length t_i for $i = 1, \dots, p$. The graph G is arbitrarily decomposable into closed trails if all possible sequences are G-realizable. In the paper it is proved that if $a \geq 1$ is an odd integer and $M_{a,a}$ is a perfect matching in $K_{a,a}$, then the graph $K_{a,a} - M_{a,a}$ is arbitrarily decomposable into closed trails.

Keywords: even graph, closed trail, graph arbitrarily decomposable into closed trails, bipartite graph

MSC 2000: 05C70

All graphs we are dealing with in this paper are simple, finite and nonoriented. We use the standard terminology and notation of graph theory.

For $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ let $[p, q]$ denote the *integer interval* bounded by p and q, i.e. $[p, q] := \{z \in \mathbb{Z} : p \leq z \leq q\}$; similarly, let $[p, \infty) := \{z \in \mathbb{Z} : p \leq z\}$. The *concatenation* of finite sequences $A = (a_1, \dots, a_m)$ and $B = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ is the sequence $AB := (a_1, \dots, a_m, b_1, \dots, b_n)$. The concatenation is an associative operation on finite sequences; we use this fact in the notation $\prod_{i=1}^{k} A_i$ representing the concatenation of finite sequences A_i, $i \in [1, k]$, in the order given by the sequence $(A_1, \dots, A_k)$. As usual, A^k denotes $\prod_{i=1}^{k} A_i$ with $A_i = A$ for any $i \in [1, k]$, and A^0 is the empty sequence $(\ )$. A finite sequence $A = (a_1, \dots, a_m)$ is

*The work of the first author was supported by Visegrad Scholarship nr S-016-2006. The work of the second author was supported by Science and Technology Assistance Agency under the contract No. APVT-20-004104 and by the Slovak grant VEGA 1/3004/06.

changeable to a finite sequence $A = (a'_1, \dots, a'_m)$ of the same length (in symbols $A \sim A'$) if there is a bijection $\pi \subseteq [1, m] \times [1, m]$ such that $a'_i = a_{\pi(i)}$ for any $i \in [1, m]$. If $I \subseteq [1, m]$, we denote by $A\langle I \rangle$ the subsequence of A formed by all a_i's with $i \in I$ (ordered in compliance with the natural ordering of I).

A *closed trail* of length $n \in [3, \infty)$ (an *n-trail* for short) is a sequence $\prod_{i=1}^{n+1}(x_i)$ of vertices of G such that $x_1 = x_{n+1}$ and if $i, j \in [1, n]$, $i \neq j$, then $\{x_i, x_{i+1}\} \in E(G)$ and $\{x_i, x_{i+1}\} \neq \{x_j, x_{j+1}\}$. A graph G is Eulerian if it has a closed trail of length $|E(G)|$. It is well known that a graph of order at least three is Eulerian if and only if it is connected and *even* (all its vertices are of even degrees). Thus, we may identify the notions of a closed trail in a graph G and a nontrivial connected even subgraph of G. Let $\mathrm{Lct}(G)$ be the set of all lengths of closed trails existing in G and let $\mathrm{Sct}(G)$ be the set of all finite sequences consisting of terms of $\mathrm{Lct}(G)$ that add up to $|E(G)|\}$. Deleting a closed trail from an even graph G yields an even subgraph of G. Continuing this process until all edges of G are exhausted leads to a sequence $\tilde{\mathcal{T}} := (\tilde{T}_1, \dots, \tilde{T}_p)$ of pairwise edge-disjoint closed trails in G such that, for any $i \in [1, p]$, $\tilde{t}_i := |E(\tilde{T}_i)| \in \mathrm{Lct}(G)$, and $\tilde{\tau} := (\tilde{t}_1, \dots, \tilde{t}_p) \in \mathrm{Sct}(G)$; the sequence $\tilde{\tau}$ is said to be *G-realizable* and the sequence $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ is a *G-realisation* of the sequence $\tilde{\tau}$. An even graph G is *arbitrarily decomposable into closed trails* (ADCT) provided all sequences of $\mathrm{Sct}(G)$ are G-realizable.

There are some classes of even graphs that are known to be ADCT. Among these are complete graphs K_n for n odd, the graphs $K_n - M_n$, where M_n is a perfect matching in K_n, for n even (Balister [1]) and complete bipartite graphs $K_{a,b}$ for a, b even (Horňák and Woźniak [8]). An even graph that is large and dense enough is necessarily ADCT. Namely, according to Balister [2], there are positive constants n and ε such that an even graph G is ADCT whenever $|V(G)| \geq n$ and $\delta(G) \geq (1 - \varepsilon)|V(G)|$. Horňák and Kocková [7] proved that if an even complete tripartite graph $K_{p,q,r}$ with $p \leq q \leq r$ is ADCT, then either $(p, q, r) \in \{(1, 1, 3), (1, 1, 5)\}$ or $p = q = r$; moreover, the graphs $K_{1,1,3}$, $K_{1,1,5}$ and $K_{p,p,p}$ with $p = 5 \cdot 2^l$, $l \in [0, \infty)$, are ADCT. The notion of an ADCT graph can be generalized in a natural way to oriented graphs (see Balister [3] and Cichacz [5]) and to pseudographs (Cichacz et al. [6]).

It may happen that an even graph is not ADCT though all its connected components are. For example, both C_8 (an 8-vertex cycle) and $K_{2,4}$ are ADCT, but $C_8 \cup K_{2,4}$ is not since the sequence $(4)^4 \in \mathrm{Sct}(C_8 \cup K_{2,4})$ is not $(C_8 \cup K_{2,4})$-realizable. On the other hand, if the graphs G^1, G^2 are ADCT and $E(G^1) \cap E(G^2) = \emptyset$, but $V(G^1) \cap V(G^2) \neq \emptyset$, when trying to prove that a sequence $\tau \in \mathrm{Sct}(G^1 \cup G^2)$ is $(G^1 \cup G^2)$-realizable, we have at our disposal not only closed trails of G^1 and G^2, but also closed trails $T^1 \cup T^2$, where T^i is a closed trail of G^i, $i = 1, 2$, and $V(T^1) \cap V(T^2) \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, a potential general strategy for proving that a graph G is ADCT can be described as follows: Write G as an edge-disjoint, but not vertex-disjoint, union of ADCT graphs G^1 and G^2, and require from G^i-realizations, $i = 1, 2$, to have an additional property that some of their chosen trails contain common vertices of $V(G^1) \cap V(G^2)$.

Clearly, when analyzing whether a nontrivial connected even graph G is ADCT, it is sufficient to show that any sequence $(t_1, \dots, t_p) \in \mathrm{Sct}(G)$ of length $p \ge 2$ is G-realizable; indeed, the graph G is Eulerian, and so the unique sequence $(|E(G)|)$ of length 1 in $\mathrm{Sct}(G)$ is trivially G-realizable. We have also the following evident statement:

Lemma 1 *If G is an even graph, $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \mathrm{Sct}(G)$ and $\tau_1 \sim \tau_2$, then the sequence τ_1 is G-realizable if and only if τ_2 is.* ■

Pick disjoint sets $X^j = \{x_i^j : i \in [1, \infty)\}$, $j = 1, 2$, and let $X_{p,q}^j := \{x_i^j : i \in [p, q]\}$ for $p, q \in [1, \infty)$. In this paper the complete bipartite graph $K_{a,b}$ will have the bipartition $\{X_{1,a}^1, X_{1,b}^2\}$ and $M_{a,a}$ will be the perfect matching in $K_{a,a}$ consisting of $\{x_i^1, x_i^2\}$ for $i \in [1, a]$. If a is odd, then $K'_{a,a} := K_{a,a} - M_{a,a}$ is an even graph. The main aim of our paper is to show that the graph $K'_{a,a}$ is ADCT for any odd $a \in [1, \infty)$. We proceed by induction on a and we use the above general strategy. For odd $a \ge 7$ consider the even subgraph $F_a \cong K'_{a-4,a-4}$ of $K'_{a,a}$ induced on the set $X_{5,a}^1 \cup X_{5,a}^2$. The even graph $H_a := K'_{a,a} - F_a$ is an edge-disjoint union of the even graph $K'_{5,5}$ and two even subgraphs $G_a^1 \cong G_a^2 \cong K_{4,a-5}$ of $K'_{a,a}$ where G_a^i is induced on the set $X_{1,4}^i \cup X_{6,a}^{3-i}$, $i = 1, 2$. Thus putting $G_a := K'_{5,5} \cup G_a^1$ we obtain $H_a = G_a \cup G_a^2$. We shall show subsequently that the graphs $K'_{5,5}$ and G_a, H_a are ADCT; furthermore, G_a-realizations and H_a-realizations can be chosen to have appropriate additional properties. Note that all mentioned graphs are bipartite. The following assertion shows the maximal extent of the set $\mathrm{Lct}(G)$ for an even bipartite graph G.

Proposition 2 *If G is an even bipartite graph, then* $\mathrm{Lct}(G) \subseteq \{2k : k \in [2, |E(G)|/2 - 2]\} \cup \{|E(G)|\}$.

Proof. All subgraphs of G are bipartite, hence all closed trails in G (as edge-disjoint unions of cycles) are of even lengths. A subgraph T of G with $|E(T)| = |E(G)| - 2$ is not even (and therefore not a closed trail) for $G - T$ has at least two vertices of degree one. ■

When proving that an even bipartite graph G is ADCT we do not exhibit the structure of $\mathrm{Lct}(G)$ explicitly, but we show implicitly that $\mathrm{Lct}(G)$ is of maximal extent by finding all G-realizations that are theoretically possible from the point of view of Proposition 2.

Recall again the result on complete bipartite graphs:

Theorem 3 *If a, b are even integers with $2 \le a \le b$, then the graph $K_{a,b}$ is* ADCT.

We know due to Chou et al. [4] that sequences of $\mathrm{Sct}(K_{a,b})$ with small terms have $K_{a,b}$-realizations consisting of cycles:

Theorem 4 *If a, b are even integers with $a \geq 4$, $b \geq 6$ and $\tau = (t_1, \ldots, t_p) \in \mathrm{Sct}(K_{a,b})$ with $t_i \in \{4, 6, 8\}$ for any $i \in [1, p]$, then there is a $K_{a,b}$-realization $(T_1, \ldots, T_p)$ of the sequence τ such that T_i is a cycle for any $i \in [1, p]$.* ∎

Clearly, when analyzing whether a connected even graph G is ADCT, it is sufficient to show that any sequence $(t_1, \ldots, t_p) \in \mathrm{Sct}(G)$ of length $p \geq 2$ is G-realizable; indeed, the graph G is Eulerian, and so the unique sequence $(|E(G)|)$ of length 1 in $\mathrm{Sct}(G)$ is trivially G-realizable.

We start our analysis by dealing with $a \leq 5$.

Proposition 5 *The graph $K'_{a,a}$ with $a \in \{1, 3, 5\}$ is* ADCT.

Proof. We have $K'_{1,1} \cong 2K_1$, and so for $a = 1$ the result follows from $\mathrm{Sct}(K'_{1,1}) = \mathrm{Lct}(K'_{1,1}) = \emptyset$.

Since $K'_{3,3} \cong C_6$, the unique sequence $(6) \in \mathrm{Sct}(K'_{3,3})$ is trivially $K'_{3,3}$-realizable.



Figure 1: $K'_{5,5}$-realizations of three sequences

The sequences $(4)^5$, $(4)^2(6)^2$ and $(6)^2(8)$ are $K'_{5,5}$-realizable, see Figure 1. Observe that any two 4-trails of the left $K'_{5,5}$-realization have a common vertex, hence every sequence in $\mathrm{Sct}(K'_{5,5})$, whose all terms are divisible by 4, is $K'_{5,5}$-realizable. Moreover, in the middle $K'_{5,5}$-realization any 4-trail has a common vertex with any 6-trail. Therefore, the remaining sequences $(4, 6, 10), (6, 14), (10)^2 \in \mathrm{Sct}(K'_{5,5})$ are $K'_{5,5}$-realizable, too. ∎

We shall need also the following three simple statements:

Proposition 6 *If G is a complete bipartite graph with bipartition $\{X, Y\}$ and $\pi \subseteq X \times X$, $\rho \subseteq Y \times Y$ are bijections, then the mapping $\alpha \subseteq V(G) \times V(G)$ with $\alpha | X = \pi$ and $\alpha | Y = \rho$ is an automorphism of G.* ∎

Proposition 7 *If $a \in [1, \infty)$ and $\pi \subseteq [1, a] \times [1, a]$ is a bijection, then the mappings $\bar{\pi}, \tilde{\pi} \subseteq V(K'_{a,a}) \times V(K'_{a,a})$ determined by $\bar{\pi}(x_i^j) = x_{\pi(i)}^j$ and $\tilde{\pi}(x_i^j) = x_{\pi(i)}^{3-j}$ for any $i \in [1, a]$ and $j \in [1, 2]$, are automorphisms of $K'_{a,a}$.* ■

Lemma 8 *If T_1, T_2 are edge-disjoint closed trails in $K'_{5,5}$ and $k \in [1, 2]$, then $|(V(T_1) \cup V(T_2)) \cap X_{1,5}^k| \geq 3$.*

Proof. If $|E(T_1) \cup E(T_2)| \geq 10$, then the edges of $E(T_1) \cup E(T_2)$ must cover at least $\lceil \frac{10}{4} \rceil = 3$ vertices of $X_{1,5}^k$ (note that $\Delta(K'_{5,5}) = 4$). The same is true if both T_1 and T_2 are 4-trails, since the the subgraph of $K'_{5,5}$ that is induced by the eight edges incident with x_i^k or x_j^k, $i, j \in [1, 5]$, $i \neq j$, has two vertices of degree 1 (namely x_i^{3-k} and x_j^{3-k}), and so it cannot be equal to $T_1 \cup T_2$. ■

Theorem 9 *The graph G_a is* ADCT *for any odd integer $a \geq 7$. Moreover, given $s \in [4, 5]$, any sequence $\tau = (t_1, \dots, t_p) \in \mathrm{Sct}(G_a)$ of length $p \geq 2$ has a G_a-realization $(T_1, \dots, T_p)$ such that T_1 contains as a subgraph a 3-vertex path with endvertices x_1^2 and x_s^2 and T_2 contains the vertex x_2^2.*

Proof. We use the general strategy with ADCT graphs $G^1 := K'_{5,5}$ (Proposition 5) and $G^2 := G_a^1$ (Theorem 3) the structure of the graph G_a is presented in Figure 2.



Figure 2: The graph G_a

First we show how to proceed provided three special conditions are fulfilled.

(C1) If there is I^1 with $[1, 2] \subseteq I^1 \subseteq [1, p]$ and $\sum_{i \in I_1} t_i = |E(G^1)| = 20$, put $I^2 := [1, p] - I^1$ and $\tau^l := \tau\langle I^l \rangle$, $l = 1, 2$. There is a G^1-realization $(T_1, T_2)\mathcal{T}^1$ of the sequence τ^1 and a G^2-realization $\mathcal{T}^2$ of the sequence τ^2. Then $\mathcal{T} := (T_1, T_2)\mathcal{T}^1\mathcal{T}^2$ is a G_a-realization of the sequence $\tau^1\tau^2 \sim \tau$. Any closed trail in a bipartite graph with bipartition $\{U, V\}$ is an alternating sequence of vertices of U and

V. Therefore, by Proposition 7 and Lemma 8, we may suppose without loss of generality that the trails T_1 and T_2 have the required properties.

(C2) If there are I^1 and $j \in [1,p]-I^1$ such that $[1,2] \subseteq I^1 \cup \{j\}$, $\sum_{i\in I^1} t_i \le 16$ and $\sum_{i\in I_1} t_i + t_j \ge 24$, put $I^2 := [1,p] - I^1 - \{j\}$, $t_j^1 := 20 - \sum_{i\in I_1} t_i$ and $t_j^2 := \sum_{i\in I^1} t_i + t_j - 20$. There is a G^l-realization $(T_j^l)\mathcal{T}^l$ of the sequence $(t_j^l)\tau\langle I^l\rangle \in \mathrm{Sct}(G^l)$, $l = 1,2$; for $i \in [1,2]-\{j\} \subseteq I^1$ let T_i be a t_i-trail of $\mathcal{T}^1$. Using Propositions 6, 7 and Lemma 8 we may suppose without loss of generality that T_1 (or T_1^1 if $j=1$) contains as a subgraph a 3-vertex path with endvertices x_1^2 and x_s^2, T_2 (or T_2^1 if $j=2$) contains the vertex x_2^2 and $V(T_j^1) \cap V(T_j^2) \cap X_{1,4}^1 \ne \emptyset$. Then $T_j := T_j^1 \cup T_j^2$ is a t_j-trail and $(T_j)\mathcal{T}^1\mathcal{T}^2$ is an appropriate G_a-realization of the sequence $(t_j)\tau\langle I^1\rangle\tau\langle I^2\rangle \sim \tau$.

(C3) If there are I^1 and $\{j,k\} \subseteq [1,p]-I^1$ such that $[1,2] \subseteq I^1 \cup \{j,k\}$, $\min\{t_j,t_k\} \ge 8$, $\sum_{i\in I^1} t_i \le 12$ and $\sum_{i\in I_1} t_i + t_j + t_k \ge 28$, put $I^2 := [1,p] - I^1 - \{j,k\}$, $t_j^1 := \min\{16 - \sum_{i\in I^1} t_i, t_j - 4\}$, $t_k^1 := \max\{4, 24 - \sum_{i\in I^1} t_i - t_j\}$, $t_j^2 := t_j - t_j^1$ and $t_k^2 := t_k - t_k^1$. Then $t_j^l + t_k^l + \sum_{i\in I^l} t_i = |E(G^l)|$ and there is a G^l-realization $(T_j^l, T_k^l)\mathcal{T}^l$ of the sequence $(t_j^l, t_k^l)\tau\langle I^l\rangle$, $l=1,2$; for $i \in [1,2]-\{j,k\} \subseteq I^1$ let T_i be a t_i-trail of $\mathcal{T}^1$. By Propositions 6, 7 and Lemma 8 we may suppose without loss of generality that T_1 (or T_1^1 if $1 \in \{j,k\}$) contains as a subgraph a 3-vertex path with endvertices x_1^2 and x_s^2, T_2 (or T_2^1 if $2 \in \{j,k\}$) contains the vertex x_2^2 and $V(T_m^1) \cap V(T_m^2) \cap X_{1,4}^1 \ne \emptyset$ for any $m \in \{j,k\}$. Then $T_m := T_m^1 \cup T_m^2$ is a t_m-trail, $m = j,k$ and $(T_j,T_k)\mathcal{T}^1\mathcal{T}^2$ is a required G_a-realization of the sequence $(t_j,t_k)\tau\langle I^1\rangle\tau\langle I^2\rangle \sim \tau$.

Let $i_1, i_2 \in [1,2]$ be such that $i_1 \ne i_2$ and $t_{i_1} \le t_{i_2}$. Since there are no additional requirements on T_i with $i \in [3,p]$, having in mind Lemma 1, in our analysis we may suppose without loss of generality that $t_i \le t_{i+1}$ for any $i \in [3,p-1]$.

(1) $t_1 + t_2 \ge 24$

(11) If $t_{i_1} \ge 18$, then $I^1 := \emptyset$, $j := 1$, $k := 2 \to$ (C3), i.e. the condition (C3) is satisfied with the presented values of I^1, j and k.

(12) If $t_{i_1} \le 16$, then $I^1 := \{i_1\}$, $j := i_2 \to$ (C2).

(2) If $t_1 + t_2 = 22$, then $t_{i_1} \le 10$, $t_{i_2} \ge 12$ and $\sum_{i=3}^p t_i = 4a - 22 \equiv 2 \pmod 4$, hence there is $l \in [3,p]$ with $t_l \equiv 2 \pmod 4$.

(21) If $t_p \ge 8$, then $I^1 := \{i_1\}$, $j := i_2$, $k := p \to$ (C3).

(22) If $t_p (= t_l) = 6$, then $I^1 := \{i_1, p\}$, $j := i_2 \to$ (C2).

(3) If $t_1 + t_2 = 20$, then $I^1 := [1,2] \to$ (C1).

(4) If $t_1 + t_2 = 18$, then $t_{i_1} \le 8$, $t_{i_2} \ge 10$ and there is $l \in [3,p]$ with $t_l \equiv 2 \pmod 4$.

(41) If $t_l \ge 10$, then $I^1 := \{i_1\}$, $j := i_2$, $k := l \to$ (C3).

(42) If $t_l = 6$, then $I^1 := \{i_1, l\}$, $j := i_2 \to$ (C2).

(5) If $t_1 + t_2 \le 16$, let $q \in [2, p-1]$ be determined by the inequalities $\sum_{i=1}^q t_i \le 22$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{q+1} t_i \ge 24$.

(51) If $\sum_{i=1}^q t_i = 22$, then $q \ge 3$ and there is $l \in [q+1, p]$ with $t_l \equiv 2 \pmod 4$.

(511) $t_q \geq 6$

(5111) If $t_p \geq t_q + 2$, then $I^1 := [1, q-1]$, $j := p \to$ (C2).

(5112) If $t_i = t_q$ for any $i \in [q+1, p]$, then $t_q = t_l \equiv 2 \pmod 4$.

(51121) If $t_q \geq 10$, then $I^1 := [1, q-1]$, $j := q$, $k := q+1 \to$ (C3).

(51122) If $t_q = 6$, put $\tau^1 := (4)\prod_{i=1}^{q-1}(t_i) \in \mathrm{Sct}(G^1)$, $\tau^2 := (8)(6)^{p-1-q} \in \mathrm{Sct}(G^2)$ and consider a G^1-realization $(T_q^1)\prod_{i=1}^{q-1}(T_i)$ of the sequence τ^1 and a G^2-realization $(T_{q+1}^2)\prod_{i=q+2}^{p}(T_i)$ of the sequence τ^2 yielded by Theorem 4. Let $T_q^1 = \prod_{i=1}^{5}(b_i)$ with $b_1 = b_5 \in X_{1,5}^1$ and let $T_{q+1}^2 = \prod_{i=1}^{9}(c_i)$ with $c_1 = c_9 \in X_{1,4}^1$. Since T_{q+1}^2 is a cycle, we have $V(T_{q+1}^2) \cap X_{1,4}^1 = X_{1,4}^1$. By Proposition 7 we may suppose without loss of generality that $b_1 = c_1$ and $b_3 = c_5$. With $T_q := (c_1, b_2)\prod_{i=5}^{9}(c_i)$ and $T_{q+1} := (c_1, b_4)\prod_{i=1}^{5}(c_{6-i})$ then $(T_1, \dots, T_p)$ is a G_a-realization of the sequence τ. Since $q \geq 3$, by Proposition 7 and Lemma 8 we may suppose without loss of generality that the additional requirements on T_1 and T_2 are fulfilled.

(512) If $t_q = 4$, then $t_1 + t_2 \equiv 2 \pmod 4$, and so $q \geq 4$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{q-2} t_i = 14$.

(5121) If $t_p \geq 10$, then $I^1 := [1, q-2]$, $j := p \to$ (C2).

(5122) If $t_p \leq 8$, then $t_l = 6$ and $I^1 := [1, q-2] \cup \{l\} \to$ (C1).

(52) If $\sum_{i=1}^{q} t_i = 20$, then $I^1 := [1, q] \to$ (C1).

(53) If $\sum_{i=1}^{q} t_i = 18$, then $q \geq 3$ and there is $l \in [q+1, p]$ with $t_l \equiv 2 \pmod 4$.

(531) If $t_q \geq 6$, then $\sum_{i=1}^{q-1} t_i \leq 12$.

(5311) If $t_p \geq t_q + 6$, then $I^1 := [1, q-1]$, $j := p \to$ (C2).

(5312) If there is $m \in [q+1, p]$ with $t_m = t_q + 2$, then $I^1 := [1, q-1] \cup \{m\}$ $\to$ (C1).

(5313) If $t_i \in \{t_q, t_q + 4\}$ for any $i \in [q+1, p]$, then $t_q \equiv t_l \equiv 2 \pmod 4$, hence $t_q \leq 10$.

(53131) If $t_q = 10$, then $q = 3$, $I^1 := [1, q-1]$, $j := q$, $k := q+1 \to$ (C3).

(53132) If $t_q = 6$, put $\tau^1 := (8)\prod_{i=1}^{q-1}(t_i) \in \mathrm{Sct}(G^1)$ and $\tau^2 := (t_p - 2)\prod_{i=q+1}^{p-1}(t_i) \in \mathrm{Sct}(G^2)$. Consider a G^1-realization $(T_q^1)\prod_{i=1}^{q-1}(T_i)$ of the sequence τ^1 and a G^2-realization $(T_p^2)\prod_{i=q+1}^{p-1}(T_i)$ of the sequence τ^2. Let $T_q^1 = \prod_{i=1}^{9}(b_i)$ with $b_1 = b_9 \in X_{1,5}^1$ and let $T_p^2 = \prod_{i=1}^{t_p-1}(c_i)$ with $c_1 = c_{t_p-1} \in X_{1,4}^1$. We have $|V(T_q^1) \cap X_{1,5}^1| \geq 3$ (if T_q^1 is not a cycle, it is a union of two edge-disjoint 4-trails and then it suffices to use Lemma 8). Therefore, we may suppose without loss of generality that $b_5 \neq b_1$. Moreover, by Proposition 6, the assumption $c_1 = b_1$ and $c_3 = b_5$ also does not cause a loss of generality. With $T_q := (b_1, c_2)\prod_{i=1}^{5}(b_{6-i})$ and $T_p := (c_1, b_8, b_7, b_6)\prod_{i=3}^{t_p-1}(c_i)$ then, using Proposition 7 and Lemma 8, we may suppose without loss of generality that $(T_1, \dots, T_p)$ is an appropriate G_a-realization of the sequence τ.

(532) $t_q = 4$

(5321) If $t_l \geq 10$, then $I^1 := [1, q-1]$, $j := l \to$ (C2).

(5322) If $t_l = 6$, then $I^1 := [1, q-1] \cup \{l\} \to$ (C1).

(54) If $\sum_{i=1}^{q} t_i \leq 16$, then $I^1 := [1, q]$, $j := q+1 \to$ (C2). ■

Theorem 10 *The graph* H_a *is* ADCT *for any odd integer* $a \geq 7$. *Moreover, any sequence* $\tau = (t_1, \ldots, t_p) \in \mathrm{Sct}(H_a)$ *of length* $p \geq 2$ *has an* H_a*-realization* $(T_1, \ldots, T_p)$ *such that there are* $(i_r, j_r) \in [5, a] \times [1, 2]$ *with* $x_{i_r}^{j_r} \in V(T_r)$, $r = 1, 2$, *and* $i_1 \neq i_2$.

Proof. We proceed similarly as in the proof of Theorem 9 with ADCT graphs $G^1 := G_a^2$ (Theorem 3) and $G^2 := G_a$ (Theorem 9). The graph H_a is depicted in Figure 3.



Figure 3: The graph H_a

(C4) If there is $I^1 \subseteq [1, p]$ such that $|[1, 2] \cap I^1| \geq 1$ and $\sum_{i \in I_1} t_i = |E(G^1)| = 4a - 20$, put $I^2 := [1, p] - I^1$ and $\tau^l := \tau\langle I^l \rangle$, $l = 1, 2$. Let $\mathcal{T}^l$ be a G^l-realization of the sequence τ^l, $l = 1, 2$, and let T_i be a t_i-trail of $\mathcal{T}^1\mathcal{T}^2$, $i = 1, 2$. If $[1, 2] \subseteq I^1$, by Proposition 6 we may suppose without loss of generality that $x_{5+i}^1 \in V(T_i)$, $i = 1, 2$; in such a case we are done with $(i_1, j_1) := (6, 1)$ and $(i_2, j_2) := (7, 1)$. If there is $m \in [1, 2]$ such that $m \in I^1$ and $3 - m \in I^2$, then, by Proposition 6 and Theorem 9, we may suppose without loss of generality that $(i_m, j_m) := (6, 1)$ and $(i_{3-m}, j_{3-m}) := (5, 2)$ are appropriate pairs.

(C5) If there are I^1 and $j \in [1, p] - I^1$ such that $|[1, 2] \cap (I^1 \cup \{j\})| \geq 1$, $\sum_{i \in I^1} t_i \leq 4a - 24$ and $\sum_{i \in I_1} t_i + t_j \geq 4a - 16$, put $I^2 := [1, p] - I^1 - \{j\}$, $t_j^1 := 4a - 20 - \sum_{i \in I_1} t_i$, $t_j^2 := \sum_{i \in I^1} t_i + t_j + 20 - 4a$ and $m := \min(\{0\} \cup I^2)$. Consider a G^1-realization $(T_j^1)\mathcal{T}^1$ of the sequence $(t_j^1)\tau\langle I^1 \rangle \in \mathrm{Sct}(G^1)$ and let T_i be a t_i-trail of $\mathcal{T}^1$ with $i \in ([1, 2] - \{j\}) \cap I^1$. By Proposition 6 we may suppose without loss of generality that $x_2^2 \in V(T_j^1)$, $j \in [1, 2] \Rightarrow x_{5+j}^1 \in V(T_j^1)$ and $x_{5+i}^1 \in V(T_i)$ for any $i \in ([1, 2] - \{j\}) \cap I^1$.

If $I^2 \neq \emptyset$ (so that $m \geq 1$), by Theorem 9 there is a G^2-realization $(T_m, T_j^2)\mathcal{T}_2$ of the sequence $(t_m, t_j^2)\tau\langle I^2 - \{m\} \rangle \in \mathrm{Sct}(G^2)$ such that $\{x_1^2, x_5^2\} \subseteq V(T_m)$ and

$x_2^2 \in V(T_j^2)$. Then $T_j := T_j^1 \cup T_j^2$ is a t_j-trail and $(T_j, T_m)\mathcal{T}^1\mathcal{T}^2$ is a required H_a-realization of the sequence $(t_j, t_m)\tau\langle I^1\rangle\tau\langle I^2 - \{m\}\rangle \sim \tau$. Appropriate pairs are as follows: if $m \in [1,2]$, then $(i_m, j_m) := (5,2)$ and $(i_{3-m}, j_{3-m}) := (8-m, 1)$; if $m \notin [1,2]$, then $(i_r, j_r) := (5+r, 1)$, $r = 1,2$.

If $I^2 = \emptyset$ (and $m = 0$), then $T_j := T_j^1 \cup G^2$ is a t_j-trail and $(T_j^1)\mathcal{T}_1$ is an appropriate H_a-realization of the sequence $(t_j)\tau\langle I^1\rangle \sim \tau$.

(C6) If there are I^1 and $\{j,k\} \subseteq [1,p] - I^1$ such that $[1,2] \subseteq I^1 \cup \{j,k\}$, $\min\{t_j, t_k\} \geq 8$, $\sum_{i\in I^1} t_i \leq 4a - 28$ and $\sum_{i\in I_1} t_i + t_j + t_k \geq 4a - 12$ (we may suppose without loss of generality that $j < k$), then with $I^2 := [1,p] - I^1 - \{j,k\}$, $t_j^1 := \min\{4a - 24 - \sum_{i\in I^1} t_i, t_j - 4\}$, $t_k^1 := \max\{4, 4a - 16 - \sum_{i\in I^1} t_i - t_j\}$, $t_j^2 := t_j - t_j^1$ and $t_k^2 := t_k - t_k^1$ we have $t_j^l + t_k^l + \sum_{i\in I^l} t_i = |E(G^l)|$ and $\tau^l := (t_j^l, t_k^l)\tau\langle I^l\rangle \in \mathrm{Sct}(G^l)$, $l = 1,2$. Consider a G^1-realization $(T_j^1, T_k^1)\mathcal{T}^1$ of the sequence τ^1 and let T_i be a t_i-trail of $\mathcal{T}^1$ with $i \in [1,2] - \{j,k\} \subseteq I^1$. Because of Proposition 6 we may suppose without loss of generality that $x_1^2 \in V(T_j^1)$, $x_2^2 \in V(T_k^1)$, $m \in [1,2] \cap \{j,k\} \Rightarrow x_{5+m}^1 \in V(T_m^1)$ and $x_{5+i}^1 \in V(T_i)$ for any $i \in [1,2] - \{j,k\}$. By Theorem 9 there is a G^2-realization $(T_j^2, T_k^2)\mathcal{T}^2$ of the sequence τ^2 such that $x_1^2 \in V(T_j^2)$ and $x_2^2 \in V(T_k^2)$. Then $T_m := T_m^1 \cup T_m^2$ is a t_m-trail, $m = j,k$ and $(T_j, T_k)\mathcal{T}^1\mathcal{T}^2$ is an H_a-realization of the sequence $(t_j, t_k)\tau\langle I^1\rangle\tau\langle I^2\rangle \sim \tau$ with required properties; appropriate pairs are $(i_r, j_r) := (5+r, 1)$, $r = 1,2$.

The additional requirements on T_1 and T_2 are symmetrical and there are no additional requirements on T_i with $i \in [3,p]$; therefore, in our analysis we may suppose without loss of generality that $t_1 \leq t_2$ and $t_i \leq t_{i+1}$ for any $i \in [3, p-1]$.

(1) $t_1 + t_2 \geq 4a - 16$

(11) If $t_1 \leq 4a - 24$, then $I^1 := \{1\}$, $j := 2 \to$ (C5).

(12) If $t_1 \geq 4a - 22$, then $t_1 \geq 6$.

(121) If $a \geq 9$, then $t_1 + t_2 \geq 8a - 44 \geq 4a - 12$, $t_1 \geq 14$ and $I^1 := \emptyset$, $j := 1$, $k := 2 \to$ (C6).

(122) If $a = 7$, then $|E(G^1)| = 8$.

(1221) If $t_1 \geq 8$, then $t_1 + t_2 \geq 4a - 12$ and $I^1 := \emptyset$, $j := 1$, $k := 2 \to$ (C6).

(1222) If $t_1 = 6$, by Theorem 9 there is a G^2-realization $(T_2^2)\prod_{i=3}^{p}(T_i)$ of the sequence $(t_2 - 2)\prod_{i=3}^{p}(t_i) \in \mathrm{Sct}(G^2)$ such that T_2^2 contains as a subgraph a 3-vertex path with endvertices x_1^2 and x_4^2. Thus, we may suppose without loss of generality that $T_2^2 = \prod_{i=1}^{t_2-1}(c_i)$ where $c_1 = c_{t_2-1} = x_1^2$ and $c_3 = x_4^2$. With $T_1 := (x_1^2, c_2, x_4^2, x_7^1, x_3^2, x_6^1, x_1^2)$ and $T_2 := (c_1, x_7^1, x_2^2, x_6^1)\prod_{i=3}^{t_2-1}(c_i)$ then $(T_1, \ldots, T_p)$ is a required H_a-realization of the sequence τ; appropriate pairs are $(i_r, j_r) := (5+r, 1)$, $r = 1,2$.

(2) If $t_1 + t_2 = 4a - 18$, then $\sum_{i=3}^{p} t_i = 4a - 2 \equiv 2 \pmod 4$ and there is $l \in [3,p]$ satisfying $t_l \equiv 2 \pmod 4$.

(21) If $t_1 \leq 4a - 28$, then $t_2 \geq 10$.

(211) If $t_p \geq 8$, then $I^1 := \{1\}$, $j := 2$, $k := p \to$ (C6).

(212) $t_p (= t_l) = 6$

(2121) If $t_1 \leq 4a - 30$, then $I^1 := \{1, p\}$, $j := 2 \to$ (C5).

(2122) If $t_1 = 4a - 28$, then $t_2 = 10$, $a \le 9$, $t_1 = 8$, $a = 9$ and $I^1 := \{2, p\} \to$ (C4).

(3) If $t_1 + t_2 = 4a - 20$, then $I^1 := [1, 2] \to$ (C4).

(4) If $t_1 + t_2 = 4a - 22$, then $a \ge 9$, $t_2 \ge 8$ and there is $l \in [3, p]$ with $t_l \equiv 2 \pmod 4$.

(41) If $t_1 \le 4a - 34$, then $t_2 \ge 12$.

(411) If $t_l \ge 10$, then $I^1 := \{1\}$, $j := 2$, $k := l \to$ (C6).

(412) If $t_l = 6$, then $I^1 := \{1, l\}$, $j := 2 \to$ (C5).

(42) If $t_1 \ge 4a - 32$, then $a = 9$ and $t_2 \in \{8, 10\}$.

(421) If $t_l \ge 10$, then $I^1 := \{1\}$, $j := 2$, $k := l \to$ (C6).

(422) If $t_l = 6$, then $t_i \in \{4, 6\}$ for any $i \in [3, p]$, $\sum_{i=3}^{p} t_i = 38$ and the sequence $\prod_{i=3}^{p}(t_i)$ contains at least two 4's and at least one 6. Thus, there is $I^1 \subseteq [2, p]$ such that $2 \in I^1$, $\sum_{i \in I^1} t_i = 16$ and the condition (C4) is satisfied.

(5) If $t_1 + t_2 \le 4a - 24$, let $q \in [2, p-1]$ be determined by the inequalities $\sum_{i=1}^{q} t_i \le 4a - 18$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{q+1} t_i \ge 4a - 16$.

(51) If $\sum_{i=1}^{q} t_i = 4a - 18$, then $q \ge 3$ and there is $l \in [q+1, p]$ with $t_l \equiv 2 \pmod 4$.

(511) $t_q \ge 6$

(5111) If $t_p \ge t_q + 2$, then $I^1 := [1, q-1]$, $j := p \to$ (C5).

(5112) If $t_i = t_q$ for any $i \in [q+1, p]$, then $t_q = t_l \equiv 2 \pmod 4$.

(51121) If $t_q \ge 10$, then $I^1 := [1, q-1]$, $j := q$, $k := q+1 \to$ (C6).

(51122) If $t_q = 6$, then $6 | 4a - 2 = 6(p-q)$, hence $a \equiv 5 \pmod 6$ and $p - q \ge 7$.

(511221) If $t_2 \ge 12$, then $I^1 := \{1\} \cup [3, q+1]$, $j := 2 \to$ (C5).

(511222) $t_2 \le 10$

(5112221) If $t_2 = 10$, then $I^1 := [q+5, p]$, $j := 2 \to$ (C5).

(5112222) If $t_2 = 8$, then $I^1 := \{1\} \cup [3, q+1] \to$ (C4).

(5112223) If $t_2 = 6$, then $I^1 := \{2\} \cup [q+5, p] \to$ (C4).

(5112224) $t_2 = 4$

(51122241) If $t_3 = 4$, then $I^1 := [1, 3] \cup [q+6, p] \to$ (C4).

(51122242) If $t_3 = 6$, then $\tau = (4)^2(6)^{p-2}$, $6p - 4 = |E(H_a)| = 8a - 20$ and $p \equiv 0 \pmod 2$. Put $\tau_1 := (8)(6)^2$, $\tau_2 := (6)^{\frac{p-4}{2}} =: \tau_3$ and consider a $K'_{5,5}$-realization $(T_{1,2}, T_3, T_4)$ of the sequence τ_1 presented in Figure 1, a G_a^1-realization $(\tilde{T}_5)\prod_{i=6}^{\frac{p+4}{2}}(T_i)$ of the sequence τ_2 and a G_a^2-realization $\prod_{i=\frac{p+6}{2}}^{p}(T_i)$ of the sequence τ_3. The closed trail $T_{1,2}$ is an 8-cycle, hence by Proposition 7 we may suppose without loss of generality that $V(T_{1,2}) \cap X_{1,5}^1 = X_{1,4}^1$ and $T_{1,2} = \prod_{i=1}^{9}(b_i)$ with $b_1 = b_9 \in X_{1,4}^1$. By Proposition 6 we may suppose without loss of generality that $\tilde{T}_5 = \prod_{i=1}^{7}(c_i)$ with $c_1 = c_7 = b_1$, $c_3 = b_3$, $c_5 = b_7$, $c_2 = x_6^2$ and $c_6 = x_7^2$. Then $(T_1, \dots, T_p)$ with $T_1 := (b_1, b_2, b_3, c_2, b_1)$, $T_2 := (b_9, b_8, b_7, c_6, b_9)$ and $T_5 := (b_3, c_4, b_7, b_6, b_5, b_4, b_3)$ is a required H_a-realization of the sequence τ; appropriate pairs are $(i_r, j_r) := (5 + r, 2)$, $r = 1, 2$.

(512) If $t_q = 4$, then $q \ge 4$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{q-2} t_i = 4a - 26$.

(5121) If $t_p \ge 10$, then $I^1 := [1, q-2]$, $j := p \to$ (C5).

(5122) If $t_p \le 8$, then $t_l = 6$ and $I^1 := [1, q-2] \cup \{l\} \to$ (C4).

(52) If $\sum_{i=1}^{q} t_i = 4a - 20$, then $I^1 := [1, q] \to$ (C4).

(53) If $\sum_{i=1}^{q} t_i = 4a - 22$, then $q \ge 3$.

(531) $t_q \ge 6$

(5311) If $t_p \ge t_q + 6$, then $I^1 := [1, q-1]$, $j := p \to$ (C5).

(5312) If there is $m \in [q+1, p]$ such that $t_m = t_q + 2$, then $I^1 := [1, q-1] \cup \{m\}$ $\to$ (C4).

(5313) If $t_i \in \{t_q, t_q + 4\}$ for any $i \in [q+1, p]$, then $t_q \equiv t_l \equiv 2 \pmod 4$, $(p-q)(t_q+4) \ge 4a+2 = \sum_{i=1}^{q} t_i + 24 \ge t_q + 24$, $p - q \ge \frac{t_q+24}{t_q+4} > 1$ and $p - q \ge 2$.

(53131) If $t_{p-1} \ge 10$, then $I^1 := [1, q-1]$, $j := p-1$, $k := p \to$ (C6).

(53132) If $t_{p-1} = 6$, then $t_q = 6$.

(531321) If $t_2 \ge 8$, then $I^1 := \{1\} \cup [3, q+1]$, $j := 2 \to$ (C5).

(531322) If $t_2 \le 6$, then by Theorem 4 there exists a G^1-realization $\mathcal{T}^1 := (T_q^1) \prod_{i=1}^{q-1} (T_i)$ of the sequence (8) $\prod_{i=1}^{q-1} (t_i)$ such that all trails of $\mathcal{T}^1$ are cycles. Therefore, by Proposition 6 we may suppose without loss of generality that $x_{5+i}^1 \in V(T_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, and $T_q^1 = \prod_{i=1}^{9} (b_i)$ with $b_1 = b_9 = x_1^2$ and $b_5 = x_4^2$. By Theorem 9 there is a G^2-realization $(T_{q+1}^2) \prod_{i=q+2}^{p} (T_i)$ of the sequence (4) $\prod_{i=q+2}^{p} (t_i)$ such that T_{q+1}^2 contains as a subgraph a 3-vertex path with endvertices x_1^2 and x_4^2. Thus, we may suppose without loss of generality that $T_{q+1}^2 = \prod_{i=1}^{5} (c_i)$ where $c_1 = c_5 = x_1^2$ and $c_3 = x_4^2$. Then $(T_1, \dots, T_p)$ with $T_{q+1} := (b_5, c_4) \prod_{i=1}^{5} (b_i)$ and $T_{q+2} := (b_9, c_2) \prod_{i=5}^{9} (b_i)$ is a required H_a-realization of the sequence τ; appropriate pairs are $(i_r, j_r) := (5 + r, 1)$, $r = 1, 2$.

(532) $t_q = 4$

(5321) If $t_p \ge 10$, then $I^1 := [1, q-1]$, $j := p \to$ (C5).

(5322) If $t_p \le 8$, then $t_l = 6$ and $I^1 := [1, q-1] \cup \{l\} \to$ (C4).

(54) If $\sum_{i=1}^{q} t_i \le 4a - 24$, then $I^1 := [1, q]$, $j := q + 1 \to$ (C5). ■

Theorem 11 *If a is an odd integer, $a \ge 3$, then the graph $K'_{a,a}$ is* ADCT. *Moreover, if $r = \frac{a(a-1)-2}{6} \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is a $K'_{a,a}$-realization $(T_1, \dots, T_r)$ of the sequence $(6)^{r-1}(8) \in \mathrm{Sct}(K'_{a,a})$ such that T_r has as a subgraph a 5-vertex path.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on a. The graphs $K'_{a,a}$ with $a \le 5$ are ADCT by Proposition 5. Further, the 8-trail of the $K'_{5,5}$-realization of the sequence $(6)^2(8) \in \mathrm{Sct}(K'_{5,5})$ presented in Figure 1 is a cycle, and so trivially it has as a subgraph a 5-vertex path.

So, suppose that $a \ge 7$, the graph $K'_{a-4,a-4}$ is ADCT and, provided $s := \frac{(a-4)(a-5)-2}{6} \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is a G^1-realization $\prod_{i=1}^{s} (T_i^1)$ of the sequence $(6)^{s-1}(8) \in \mathrm{Sct}(G^1)$ such that T_s^1 has as a subgraph a 5-vertex path. We can use again the general strategy, since the graph $K'_{a,a}$ (see Figure 4) is an edge-disjoint union of ADCT graphs $G^1 := F_a$ (the induction hypothesis) and $G^2 := H_a$ (Theorem 10). Consider a sequence $\tau = (t_1, \dots, t_p) \in \mathrm{Sct}(K'_{a,a})$.



Figure 4: The graph $K'_{a,a}$

(C7) If there is $I^1 \subseteq [1,p]$ such that $\sum_{i\in I^1} t_i = a^2 - 9a + 20 = |E(G^1)|$, put $I^2 := [1,p] - I^1$, $\tau^l := \tau\langle I^l\rangle \in \mathrm{Sct}(G^l)$ and consider a G^l-realization $\mathcal{T}^l$ of the sequence τ^l, $l = 1,2$. Then $\mathcal{T}^1\mathcal{T}^2$ is a $K'_{a,a}$-realization of the sequence $\tau^1\tau^2 \sim \tau$.

(C8) If there are I^1 and $j \in [1,p] - I^1$ such that $\sum_{i\in I^1} t_i \le a^2 - 9a + 16$ and $\sum_{i\in I_1} t_i + t_j \ge a^2 - 9a + 24$, put $I^2 := [1,p] - I^1 - \{j\}$, $t_j^1 := a^2 - 9a + 20 - \sum_{i\in I_1} t_i$, $t_j^2 := \sum_{i\in I^1} t_i + t_j - a^2 + 9a - 20$. Then $\tau^l := (t_j^l)\tau\langle I^l\rangle \in \mathrm{Sct}(G^l)$, $l = 1,2$. By Theorem 10 there is a G^2-realization $(T_j^2)\mathcal{T}^2$ of the sequence τ^2 such that there is $(i_1, j_1) \in [5,a] \times [1,2]$ with $x_{i_1}^{j_1} \in V(T_j^2)$. By the induction hypothesis there is a G^1-realization $(T_j^1)\mathcal{T}^1$ of the sequence τ^1; by Proposition 7 we may suppose without loss of generality that $x_{i_1}^{j_1} \in V(T_j^1)$. Then $T_j := T_j^1 \cup T_j^2$ is a t_j-trail and $(T_j)\mathcal{T}^1\mathcal{T}^2$ is a $K'_{a,a}$-realization of the sequence $(t_j)\tau\langle I^1\rangle\tau\langle I^2\rangle \sim \tau$.

(C9) If there are I^1 and $\{j,k\} \subseteq [1,p] - I^1$ such that $\min\{t_j, t_k\} \ge 8$, $\sum_{i\in I^1} t_i \le a^2 - 9a + 12$ and $\sum_{i\in I_1} t_i + t_j + t_k \ge a^2 - 9a + 28$, then with $I^2 := [1,p] - I^1 - \{j,k\}$, $t_j^1 := \min\{a^2 - 9a + 16 - \sum_{i\in I^1} t_i, t_j - 4\}$, $t_k^1 := \max\{4, a^2 - 9a + 24 - \sum_{i\in I^1} t_i - t_j\}$, $t_j^2 := t_j - t_j^1$ and $t_k^2 := t_k - t_k^1$ we have $t_j^l + t_k^l + \sum_{i\in I^l} t_i = |E(G^l)|$ and $\tau^l := (t_j^l, t_k^l)\tau\langle I^l\rangle \in \mathrm{Sct}(G^l)$, $l = 1,2$. Theorem 10 yields a G^2-realization $(T_j^2, T_k^2)\mathcal{T}^2$ of the sequence τ^2 such that there are $(i_r, j_r) \in [5,a] \times [1,2]$, $r = 1,2$, with $x_{i_1}^{j_1} \in V(T_j^2)$, $x_{i_2}^{j_2} \in V(T_k^2)$ and $i_1 \ne i_2$. By the induction hypothesis there is a G^1-realization $(T_j^1, T_k^1)\mathcal{T}^1$ of the sequence τ^1; by Proposition 7 we may suppose without loss of generality that $x_{i_1}^{j_1} \in V(T_j^1)$ and $x_{i_2}^{j_2} \in V(T_k^1)$ (note that both T_j^1 and T_k^1 have at least two vertices in both $X_{5,a}^1$ and $X_{5,a}^2$. Then $T_m := T_m^1 \cup T_m^2$ is a t_m-trail, $m = j,k$ and $(T_j, T_k)\mathcal{T}^1\mathcal{T}^2$ is a $K'_{a,a}$-realization of the sequence $(t_j, t_k)\tau\langle I^1\rangle\tau\langle I^2\rangle \sim \tau$.

Because of Lemma 1 we may suppose without loss of generality that τ is a nondecreasing sequence. Let $q \in [0, p-1]$ be determined by the inequalities $\sum_{i=1}^{q} t_i \le a^2 - 9a + 22$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{q+1} t_i \ge a^2 - 9a + 24$.

(1) If $\sum_{i=1}^{q} t_i = a^2-9a+22$, then $\sum_{i=q+1}^{p} t_i = 8a-22$ and there is $l \in [q+1, p]$ such that $t_l \equiv 2 \pmod 4$.

(11) $t_q \ge 6$

(111) If $t_p \ge t_q + 2$, then $I^1 := [1, q-1]$, $j := p \to$ (C8).

(112) If $t_i = t_q$ for any $i \in [q+1, p]$, then $t_q = t_l \equiv 2 \pmod 4$.

(1121) If $t_q \ge 10$, then $I^1 := [1, q-1]$, $j := q$, $k := q+1 \to$ (C9).

(1122) If $t_q = 6$, then $6q \ge \sum_{i=1}^{q} t_i \ge 8$, $q \ge 2$, $8a-22 = 6(p-q)$, $4a-11 \equiv 0 \pmod 3$, $a \equiv 5 \pmod 6$, $a(a-1) \equiv 2 \pmod 6$, the sequence τ must contain at least two 4's and $I^1 := [3, q+1] \to$ (C7).

(12) If $t_q = 4$, then $4q \ge 8$ and $q \ge 2$.

(121) If $t_l \ge 10$, then $I^1 := [1, q-2]$, $j := l \to$ (C8).

(122) If $t_l = 6$, then $I^1 := [1, q-2] \cup \{l\} \to$ (C7).

(2) If $\sum_{i=1}^{q} t_i = a^2 - 9a + 20$, then $I^1 := [1, q] \to$ (C7). Note that if r defined in the statement of our Theorem is integer, then $a(a-1) \equiv 2 \pmod 6$, $a \equiv 5 \pmod 6$, $a^2 - 9a + 20 \equiv 0 \pmod 6$, $4a - 20 \equiv 0 \pmod 6$, and so $\tau = (6)^{p-1}(8)$ yields $8a - 20 = 6(p-q-1) + 8$, $p - q - 1 \ge 60$, $6(p-q-1) \equiv 0 \pmod 4$ and $p - q - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod 2$. The graph G^2 is an edge-disjoint union of ADCT graphs $G_1^2 := G_a^1$, $G_2^2 := G_a^2$ and $G_3^2 := K'_{5,5}$. Put $\tau^1 := (6)^q$, $\tau_1^2 := (6)^{\frac{p-q-3}{2}} =: \tau_2^2$, $\tau_3^2 := (6)^2(8)$ and let $\mathcal{T}^1$ be a G^1-realization of the sequence τ^1 and let $\mathcal{T}_m^2$ be a G_m^2-realization of the sequence τ_m^2, $m = 1, 2, 3$, where $\mathcal{T}_3^2 = (T_{p-2}, T_{p-1}, T_p)$ is that presented in Figure 1. Then $\mathcal{T}^1\mathcal{T}_1^2\mathcal{T}_2^2\mathcal{T}_3^2$ is a $K'_{a,a}$-realization of the sequence $(6)^{p-1}(8)$ and the 8-trail T_p (that is a cycle) has trivially as a subgraph a 5-vertex path.

(3) If $\sum_{i=1}^{q} t_i = a^2 - 9a + 18$, there is $l \in [q+1, p]$ such that $t_l \equiv 2 \pmod 4$.

(31) $t_q \ge 6$

(311) If $t_p \ge t_q + 6$, then $I^1 := [1, q-1]$, $j := p \to$ (C8).

(312) If there is $m \in [q+1, p]$ such that $t_m = t_q + 2$, then $I^1 := [1, q-1] \cup \{m\}$ $\to$ (C7).

(313) If $t_i \in \{t_q, t_q + 4\}$ for any $i \in [q+1, p]$, then $t_q \equiv t_l \equiv 2 \pmod 4$.

(3131) $p \ge q + 2$

(31311) If $t_{p-1} \ge 10$, then $I^1 := [1, q-1]$, $j := p-1$, $k := p \to$ (C9).

(31312) $t_{p-1} = 6$

(313121) If $t_1 = 4$, then $I^1 := [2, q+1] \to$ (C7).

(313122) If $t_1 = 6$, then $a^2 - 9a + 18 = 6q$, $a \equiv 3 \pmod 6$, $\sum_{i=q+1}^{p} t_i = 8a - 18 \equiv 0 \pmod 6$, $t_p = 6$, $\tau = (6)^p$, $8a - 18 = 6(p-q)$, $p - q \ge 9$, $6(p-q) \equiv 6 \pmod{48}$ and $p - q - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod 8$. The graph G^2 is an edge-disjoint union of ADCT graphs $G_1^2 := G_a$ and $G_2^2 := G_a^2$. Put $\tau^1 := (8)(6)^{q-1}$, $\tau_1^2 := (6)^{\frac{p-q+3}{2}}$ and $\tau_2^2 := (4)(6)^{\frac{p-q-5}{2}}$. By the induction hypothesis and by Lemma 1 there is a G^1-realization $(T_q^1)\mathcal{T}^1$ of the sequence τ^1 such that T_q^1 has as a subgraph a 5-vertex path. By Proposition 7 we may suppose without loss of generality that

$T_q^1 = \prod_{i=1}^9(b_i)$ where $b_1 = b_9 \in X_{5,a}^1$ and $\prod_{i=1}^5(b_i)$ is a path. By Theorem 10 there is a G_1^2-realization $\mathcal{T}_1^2$ of the sequence τ_1^2. Further, by Theorem 3 there is a G_2^2-realization $(T_{q+1}^2)\mathcal{T}_2^2$ of the sequence τ_2^2; by Proposition 6 we may suppose without loss of generality that $T_{q+1}^2 = \prod_{i=1}^5(c_i)$ where $c_1 = c_5 = b_1$ and $c_3 = b_5$. With $T_q := (b_5, c_2)\prod_{i=1}^5(b_i)$ and $T_{q+1} := (b_9, c_4)\prod_{i=5}^9(b_i)$ then $(T_q, T_{q+1})\mathcal{T}^1\mathcal{T}_1^2\mathcal{T}_2^2$ is a $K'_{a,a}$-realization of the sequence $\tau = (6)^p$.

(3132) If $p = q + 1$, then $t_p = 8a - 18$, $t_q \geq 8a - 22$ and $I^1 := [1, q - 1]$, $j := q$, $k := p \to$ (C9).

(32) $t_q = 4$

(321) If $t_l \geq 10$, then $I^1 := [1, q - 1]$, $j := l \to$ (C8).

(322) If $t_l = 6$, then $I^1 := [1, q - 1] \cup \{l\} \to$ (C7).

(4) If $\sum_{i=1}^q \leq a^2 - 9a + 16$, then $I^1 := [1, q]$, $j := q + 1 \to$ (C8). ■

References

[1] P.N. Balister: Packing circuits into K_N. Comb. Probab. Comput. 6(2001), 463–499. Zbl pre01718972

[2] P.N. Balister: Packing closed trails into dense graphs. J. Comb. Theory, Ser. B 88(2003), 107–118. Zbl 1045.05074

[3] P.N. Balister: Packing digraphs with directed closed trails. Comb. Probab. Comput. 12(2003), 1–15. Zbl 1015.05072

[4] Ch.-Ch. Chou, Ch.-M. Fu, W.-Ch. Huang: Decomposition of $K_{n,m}$ into short cycle. Discrete Math. 197/198(1999), 195–203. Zbl 0934.05106

[5] S. Cichacz: Decomposition of complete bipartite digraphs and even complete bipartite multigraphs into closed trails. Preprint MD 016 (2005), http://www.ii.uj.edu.pl/preMD/index.php

[6] S. Cichacz, J. Przybyło, M. Woźniak: Decompositions of pseudographs into closed trails of even sizes (submitted)

[7] M. Horňák, Z. Kocková: On complete tripartite graphs arbitrarily decomposable into closed trails. Tatra Mt. Math. Publ. (to appear)

[8] M. Horňák, M. Woźniak: Decomposition of complete bipartite even graphs into closed trails. Czech. Math. J. 53(128)(2003), 127–134. Zbl 1010.05054

Recent IM Preprints, series A

2003

1/2003 Cechlárová K.: *Eigenvectors of interval matrices over max-plus algebra*

2/2003 Mihók P. and Semanišin G.: *On invariants of hereditary graph properties*

3/2003 Cechlárová K.: *A problem on optimal transportation*

2004

1/2004 Jendroľ S. and Voss H.-J.: *Light subgraphs of graphs embedded in the plane and in the projective plane* – survey

2/2004 Drajnová S., Ivančo J. and Semaničová A.: *Numbers of edges in supermagic graphs*

3/2004 Skřivánková V. and Kočan M.: *From binomial to Black-Scholes model using the Liapunov version of central limit theorem*

4/2004 Jakubíková-Studenovská D.: *Retracts of monounary algebras corresponding to groupoids*

5/2004 Hajduková J.: *On coalition formation games*

6/2004 Fabrici I., Jendroľ S. and Semanišin G., ed.: *Czech – Slovak Conference GRAPHS 2004*

7/2004 Berežný Š. and Lacko V.: *The color-balanced spanning tree problem*

8/2004 Horňák M. and Kocková Z.: *On complete tripartite graphs arbitrarily decomposable into closed trails*

9/2004 van Aardt S. and Semanišin G.: *Non-intersecting detours in strong oriented graphs*

10/2004 Ohriska J. and Žulová A.: *Oscillation criteria for second order non-linear differential equation*

11/2004 Kardoš F. and Jendroľ S.: *On octahedral fulleroids*

2005

1/2005 Cechlárová K. and Vaľová V.: *The stable multiple activities problem*

2/2005 Lihová J.: *On convexities of lattices*

3/2005 Horňák M. and Woźniak M.: *General neighbour-distinguishing index of a graph*

4/2005 Mojsej I. and Ohriska J.: *On solutions of third order nonlinear differential equations*

5/2005 Cechlárová K., Fleiner T. and Manlove D.: *The kidney exchange game*

6/2005 Fabrici I., Jendroľ S. and Madaras T., ed.: *Workshop Graph Embeddings and Maps on Surfaces 2005*

7/2005 Fabrici I., Horňák M. and Jendroľ S., ed.: *Workshop Cycles and Colourings 2005*

2006

1/2006 Semanišinová I. and Trenkler M.: *Discovering the magic of magic squares*

2/2006 Jendroľ S.: *NOTE – Rainbowness of cubic polyhedral graphs*

3/2006 Horňák M. and Woźniak M.: *On arbitrarily vertex decomposable trees*

4/2006 Cechlárová K. and Lacko V.: *The kidney exchange problem: How hard is it to find a donor ?*

5/2006 Horňák M. and Kocková Z.: *On planar graphs arbitrarily decomposable into closed trails*

6/2006 Biró P. and Cechlárová K.: *Inapproximability of the kidney exchange problem*

7/2006 Rudašová J. and Soták R.: *Vertex-distinguishing proper edge colourings of some regular graphs*

8/2006 Fabrici I., Horňák M. and Jendroľ S., ed.: *Workshop Cycles and Colourings 2006*

9/2006 Borbeľová V. and Cechlárová K.: *Pareto optimality in the kidney exchange game*

10/2006 Harminc V. and Molnár P.: *Some experiences with the diversity in word problems*

11/2006 Horňák M. and Zlámalová J.: *Another step towards proving a conjecture by Plummer and Toft*

12/2006 Hančová M.: *Natural estimation of variances in a general finite discrete spectrum linear regression model*

2007

1/2007 Haluška J. and Hutník O.: *On product measures in complete bornological locally convex spaces*

Preprints can be found in: http://umv.science.upjs.sk/preprints