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Abstract

A graph is called 1-planar if it can be drawn in the plane so that each edge is crossed by at most one other edge. We prove that each 1-planar graph of minimum degree $\delta \geq 4$ contains an edge with degrees its endvertices of type $(4, \leq 13)$ or $(5, \leq 9)$ or $(6, \leq 8)$ or $(7, 7)$. We also show that for $\delta \geq 5$ are these bounds best possible and that the list of edges is minimal.
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1 Introduction

The research on graph theory particularly deals with structural properties of graphs. The knowledge of local graph structure is interesting per se as well as in study of other graph properties. A typical example is the classical consequence of Euler polyhedral formula: every planar graph contains a vertex of degree at most 5. This result further developed into theory of unavoidable configurations widely used in proofs of results on graph colourings (notably, the Four Colour Theorem). Among several milestones on the way from Euler formula to modern structural theory of planar graphs, an important position has the theorem of A. Kotzig [14] which states that each 3-connected planar graph contains an edge with the weight (that is, the sum of degrees of its endvertices) at most 13, and at most 11 if the graph has minimum degree at least 4; in addition, the bounds 13 and 11 are sharp. This result was generalized in many different directions: there was investigated the number of light edges in various families of plane graphs (see [13, 1, 3]) or projective plane graphs ([16]), the existence of light edges in graphs embedded in higher surfaces ([7, 9, 10]) or in graphs with given number of edges ([8]); the survey of research in the area of light configurations can be found in summary papers [11] and [12].

The aim of this paper is to investigate light edges in certain nonplanar graphs which can be drawn in the plane in such a way that each edge is crossed by at
most one other edge; such graphs are called 1-planar. These graphs were first introduced by Ringel in [15] in the connection with simultaneous vertex-face colouring of plane graphs. The local properties of 1-planar graphs were studied in [4] where was proved, among other results, the following analogy of Kotzig theorem: each 3-connected 1-planar graph contains an edge such that each its endvertex has degree at most 20, and this bound is best possible. Other results on light edges in 1-planar graphs with prescribed minimum degree and girth can be found in [5] and [6]. However, the full analogy of Kotzig theorem concerning the weight of light edges in the family of 1-planar graphs of minimum degree at least 3 is still not known. In this paper, we prove such a partial analogy for 1-planar graphs of minimum degree at least 4 and present examples of 1-planar graphs of minimum degree at least 5 for which our result is the best possible.

2 Preliminaries

In this paper we consider simple connected graphs. We use the standard graph theory terminology by [2]. The degree of a vertex $v$ in graph $G$ is denoted by $\text{deg}_G(v)$. Similarly, the size of a face $f$ in a plane graph $G$ is denoted by $\text{deg}_G(f)$. A vertex of degree $k$ (at least $k$, at most $k$) is called a $k$-vertex ($\geq k$-vertex, $\leq k$-vertex, respectively). Similarly, a face of size $r$ (at least $r$, at most $r$) is called an $r$-face ($\geq r$-face, $\leq r$-face, respectively).

Given an 1-planar graph $G$, let $D(G)$ denote its 1-planar drawing. Referring to the notation from [4], we denote by $D(G)^\times$ the associated plane graph of $D(G)$, that is, a plane graph obtained by replacing each crossing in $D(G)$ by a new 4-vertex (called false in the sequel). All other vertices of $D(G)^\times$ will be called true. All edges and faces of $D(G)^\times$ incident to a false vertex will be called false, all other elements will be called true.

Given an edge $uv \in E(D(G)^\times)$ with endvertices of degrees $a$ and $b$, we say that $uv$ is of type $(a, b)$; similarly, we say that a 3-face $f$ is of type $(a, b, c)$ if its vertices have degrees $a$, $b$ and $c$, respectively. For type entries, we will also use the entries $\geq k$ or $\leq k$ if the corresponding vertices are of degree at least $k$ or at most $k$.

Finally, the symbol $\otimes$ in edge/face type indicates that the corresponding vertex is a false vertex.

3 Main result

**Theorem 1.** Every 1-planar graph of minimum degree $\delta \geq 4$ contains an edge of type $(4, \leq 13)$ or $(5, \leq 9)$ or $(6, \leq 8)$ or $(7, 7)$.

In proof of our result we use the classical strategy. We consider a counterexample $G$ to the Theorem 1, and its 1-planar drawing $D(G)$. Note that $G$ contains only edges of type $(4, \geq 14)$ or $(5, \geq 10)$ or $(6, \geq 9)$ or $(\geq 7, \geq 8)$. We proceed by
the Discharging method on the associated plane graph $D(G)^\times$. Assigning the initial charge $c(v) = \deg_{D(G)^\times}(v) - 4$ to every vertex $v \in V(D(G)^\times)$ and $c(f) = \deg_{D(G)^\times}(f) - 4$ to every face $f \in F(D(G)^\times)$, we obtain, according to the Euler polyhedral formula,

$$\sum_{v \in V(D(G)^\times)} (\deg(v) - 4) + \sum_{f \in F(D(G)^\times)} (\deg(f) - 4) = \sum_{x \in V(D(G)^\times) \cup F(D(G)^\times)} c(x) = -8.$$ 

Then, we redistribute locally the initial charge of elements of $D(G)^\times$ by a set of rules in such a way that the total sum remains the same (negative). After application of these rules, the initial charge is transformed to a new charge $\tilde{c} : V(D(G)^\times) \cup F(D(G)^\times) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$. Finally, it is shown that the function $\tilde{c}$ is nonnegative, yielding that the sum of all new charges is also nonnegative, a contradiction.

**Discharging Rules:**

**Rule 1:** Every 5-vertex sends $\frac{1}{4}$ to every incident false 3-face.

**Rule 2:** Every 6-vertex sends $\frac{1}{3}$ to every incident false 3-face.

**Rule 3:** Every 7-vertex sends $\frac{1}{2}$ to every incident false 3-face.

**Rule 4:** Every 8-vertex sends $\frac{1}{2}$ to every incident 3-face.

**Rule 5:** Every 9-vertex sends

- $\frac{1}{3}$ to every incident true 3-face of type $(9, \geq 9, \geq 9)$
- $\frac{1}{2}$ to each other incident true 3-face
- $\frac{2}{3}$ to every incident false 3-face of type $(9, 6, \otimes)$
- $\frac{1}{2}$ to every incident false 3-face of type $(9, \geq 7, \otimes)$.

**Rule 6:** For $10 \leq k \leq 13$, every $k$-vertex sends

- $\frac{1}{2}$ to every incident true 3-face
- $\frac{3}{4}$ to every incident false 3-face of type $(k, 5, \otimes)$
- $\frac{2}{3}$ to every incident false 3-face of type $(k, 6, \otimes)$
- $\frac{1}{2}$ to every incident false 3-face of type $(k, \geq 7, \otimes)$.

**Rule 7:** For $l \geq 14$, every $l$-vertex sends

- $\frac{1}{2}$ to every incident true 3-face
- 1 to every incident false 3-face of type $(l, 4, \otimes)$
- $\frac{3}{4}$ to every incident false 3-face of type $(l, 5, \otimes)$.
• $\frac{2}{3}$ to every incident false 3-face of type $(l, 6, \otimes)$
• $\frac{1}{2}$ to every incident false 3-face of type $(l, \geq 7, \otimes)$.

We collect these Rules in a compact table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rule</th>
<th>$\deg(x)$</th>
<th>True 3-faces</th>
<th>False 3-faces</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>1/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>(9, $\geq 9$, $\geq 9$)</td>
<td>(9, $\geq 7$, $\otimes$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1/3</td>
<td>1/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>10 $\leq k \leq 13$</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>(k, $\geq 7$, $\otimes$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>1/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>$l \geq 14$</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>(l, $\geq 7$, $\otimes$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>1/2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

After application of Rules we have to consider several cases:

Let $f$ be an $r$-face ($r \geq 4$). The initial charge of $f$ will not change by using the Rules, hence, the new charge $\bar{c}(f)$ remains nonnegative. From the content of Rules is clear that the charge is redistributed only to 3-faces. Now, we will check all types of 3-faces:

Claim 3.1. After the application of Rules, the charge of every true 3-face is nonnegative.

Proof. Let $f = \{abc\}$ be a true 3-face. Note that $f$ can be incident to at most one vertex of degree 4,5,6 or 7.

Case T1: Let $a$ be a 4-vertex; then $b$ and $c$ are $\geq 14$-vertices. By Rule 7 each of $b$ and $c$ sends $\frac{1}{2}$ to the face $f$ and $\bar{c}(f) = 3 - 4 + 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} = 0$.

Case T2: Let $a$ be a 5-vertex; then $b$ and $c$ are $\geq 10$-vertices. By Rule 6 (or 7) each of $b$ and $c$ sends $\frac{1}{2}$ to the face $f$, hence $\bar{c}(f) = 3 - 4 + 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} = 0$.

Case T3: Let $a$ be a 6-vertex; then $b$ and $c$ are $\geq 9$-vertices. By Rule 5 (6 or 7) both vertices $b$ and $c$ send $\frac{1}{2}$ to the face $f$, $\bar{c}(f) = 3 - 4 + 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} = 0$.

Case T4: Let $a$ be a $\geq 7$-vertex; then $b$ and $c$ are $\geq 8$-vertices. Let $\deg(a) \leq \deg(b) \leq \deg(c)$. If $\deg(a) \in \{7, 8\}$ then by Rule 4 (5,6 or 7) at least each of $b$ and $c$ sends $\frac{1}{2}$ to the face $f$, therefore $\bar{c}(f) \geq 3 - 4 + 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} = 0$. If $\deg(a) \geq 9$ then all three vertices send at least $\frac{1}{3}$ to $f$, that means $\bar{c}(f) \geq 3 - 4 + 3 \cdot \frac{1}{3} = 0$. □
Claim 3.2. After the application of Rules, the charge of every false 3-face is nonnegative.

Proof. Let $f = \{abc\}$ be a false 3-face with false vertex $c$.

Case F1: Let $a$ be a 4-vertex; then $b$ is $\geq 14$-vertex. By Rule 7 the vertex $b$ sends 1 to the face $f$, $\tilde{c}(f) = 3 - 4 + 1 = 0$.

Case F2: Let $a$ be a 5-vertex; then $b$ is $\geq 10$-vertex. The vertex $a$ sends $\frac{1}{4}$ to $f$ by Rule 1 and the vertex $b$ sends $\frac{2}{3}$ to $f$ by Rule 6 (or 7), hence $\tilde{c}(f) = 3 - 4 + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{2}{3} = 0$.

Case F3: Let $a$ be a 6-vertex; then $b$ is $\geq 9$-vertex. The vertex $a$ sends $\frac{1}{5}$ to $f$ by Rule 2 and the vertex $b$ sends $\frac{2}{3}$ by Rule 5 (6 or 7) to the face $f$, therefore $\tilde{c}(f) = 3 - 4 + \frac{1}{5} + \frac{2}{3} = 0$.

Case F4: Let $a$ be a $\geq 7$-vertex; then $b$ is $\geq 8$-vertex. Both vertices $a$ and $b$ send $\frac{1}{2}$ to the face $f$ by Rule 3 (4,5,6 or 7). Hence $\tilde{c}(f) = 3 - 4 + 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} = 0$.

We can conclude that all faces have nonnegative value of new charge. Next we consider vertices of $D(G)\times$. We can see that 4-vertices (false or true) are not influenced by any Rule, so their charge remains zero.

Claim 3.3. After the application of Rules, the charge of every vertex is nonnegative.

Proof. Let $x$ be a $k$-vertex ($k \geq 5$). Note that vertices send charge only to incident 3-faces and $x$ can be incident with at most $2\lfloor \frac{k}{2}\rfloor$ false 3-faces.

Case V1: Let $k = 5$. The vertex $x$ is involved only in Rule 1 and sends a charge only to incident false 3-faces. Since the degree of $x$ is odd, it follows that $x$ is incident to at most four false 3-faces and $\tilde{c}(x) \geq 5 - 4 - 4 \cdot \frac{1}{4} = 0$.

Case V2: Let $k = 6$. The vertex $x$ sends $\frac{1}{3}$ to every incident false 3-face by Rule 2. It follows that $\tilde{c}(x) \geq 6 - 4 - 6 \cdot \frac{1}{3} = 0$.

Case V3: Let $k = 7$. The vertex $x$ sends $\frac{1}{2}$ to every incident false 3-face by Rule 3. Again since the degree of $x$ is odd, it follows that $x$ is incident to at most six false 3-faces and $\tilde{c}(x) \geq 7 - 4 - 6 \cdot \frac{1}{2} = 0$.

Case V4: Let $k = 8$. The vertex $x$ sends $\frac{1}{2}$ to every incident 3-face by Rule 4. It follows $\tilde{c}(x) \geq 8 - 4 - 8 \cdot \frac{1}{2} = 0$.

Next, for $k \in \{4, 5, 6, 7\}$ denote by $s_k$ the minimal degree of a vertex adjacent to a $k$-vertex in $G$. Note that $s_4 = 14$, $s_5 = 10$, $s_6 = 9$, and $s_7 = 8$. Furthermore call a false 3-face of type $(k, \geq s_k, \otimes)$ a $k$-small 3-face.

Each edge of type $(\otimes, \geq s_k)$ can be incident to at most one $k$-small 3-face (otherwise two edges of type $(k, \otimes)$ from two $k$-small 3-faces sharing the same edge of type $(\otimes, \geq s_k)$ correspond in $G$ to the forbidden edge type $(k, k)$). Now, we determine $S_k(n)$, the maximal number of $k$-small 3-faces incident to an $n$-vertex ($n \geq s_k$).
Lemma 3.1. Let $x$ be a $n$-vertex $(n \geq s_k)$ incident to 3-faces only. Then

$$S_k(n) \leq \begin{cases} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor - 1, & n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}; \\ \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil, & n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. If an $n$-vertex $x$ is incident to 3-faces only, then $x$ can be adjacent to at most $\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor$ false vertices, hence, $S_k(n) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor$. Now, consider an $n$-vertex where $n = 4m + 2$. Since $\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor = 2m + 1$ we have at most $2m + 1$ false vertices. If the number of false vertices incident to $x$ is at most $2m$, then there are at most $2m$ $k$-small 3-faces incident to $x$. If $x$ is incident to exactly $2m + 1$ false vertices, then since no two $k$-vertices can be adjacent in $G$, it follows that the number of $k$-vertices incident to $x$ is at most $\left\lfloor \frac{2m+1}{2} \right\rfloor = m$. Hence the vertex $x$ can be incident to at most $2m = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor - 1$ $k$-small 3-faces. Due to this fact, $S_k(n) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor - 1$ for all $n = 4m + 2$. \hfill \square

Lemma 3.2. Let $x$ be an $n$-vertex $(n \geq s_k)$ incident to exactly one $\geq 4$-face. Then $S_k(n) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor$.

Proof. If an $n$-vertex $x$ is incident to exactly one $\geq 4$-face, then $x$ can be adjacent to at most $\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor$ false vertices, hence, $S_k(n) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor$. \hfill \square

Lemma 3.3. Let $x$ be an $n$-vertex $(n \geq s_k)$ incident to exactly two $\geq 4$-faces. Then $S_k(n) \leq \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil + 1$.

Proof. If an $n$-vertex $x$ is incident to exactly two $\geq 4$-faces, then $x$ can be adjacent to at most $\left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil + 1$ false vertices, hence $S_k(n) \leq \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil + 1$. \hfill \square

Lemma 3.4. Let $x$ be an $n$-vertex $(n \geq s_k)$ incident to at least three $\geq 4$-faces. Then $S_k(n) \leq \left\lceil \frac{2n}{3} \right\rceil$.

Proof. Each edge of type $(k, n)$ can be incident to at most two $k$-small 3-faces. For $i = 1, 2$ let $m_i$ denote the number of $k$-vertices $y$ adjacent to $x$, where the edge $xy$ is incident to exactly $i$ $k$-small 3-faces. The number of $k$-small 3-faces incident to $x$ is $S_k(n) = m_1 + 2m_2$. Let $y$ be a $k$-vertex adjacent to $x$. If the edge $xy$ is incident to exactly one $k$-small 3-face $\alpha$, then $\alpha$ covers exactly two edges incident to $x$. We see that no edge incident to $\alpha$ can be incident to another $k$-small 3-face incident with $x$. If the edge $xy$ is incident to exactly two $k$-small 3-faces, say $\alpha, \beta$, then $\alpha, \beta$ cover exactly three edges incident to $x$. Again, no edge incident to $\alpha, \beta$ can be incident to another $k$-small 3-face incident to $x$. From the number of edges incident to $x$ we have $2m_1 + 3m_2 \leq n$, which gives $\frac{4}{3}m_1 + 2m_2 \leq \frac{2n}{3}$, hence $m_1 + 2m_2 \leq \frac{4}{3}m_1 + 2m_2 \leq \frac{2n}{3}$. Which gives $S_k(n) \leq \left\lceil \frac{2n}{3} \right\rceil$. \hfill \square

Case V5: Let $k = 9$. Let $a (b, c$ and $d)$ denote the number of $\geq 4$-faces (true 3-faces, false 3-faces of type $(\geq 7, 9, \otimes)$ and false 3-faces of type $(6, 9, \otimes)$, respectively) incident to $x$. Note that $a + b + c + d = 9$ which gives $d = 9 - a - b - c$. 
Now, we determine the new charge of vertex $x$. Precisely, $\bar{c}(x) = 9 - 4 - \frac{1}{2} \cdot b - \frac{1}{2} \cdot c - \frac{3}{4} \cdot d = 5 - \frac{1}{2} (b + c) - \frac{3}{4} (9 - a - b - c) = -1 + \frac{2a}{3} + \frac{1}{2} (b + c)$. To ensure the nonnegativity of $\bar{c}(x)$ we need $-1 + \frac{2a}{3} + \frac{1}{2} (b + c) \geq 0$, that implies $b + c \geq 6 - 4a$, hence $d = 9 - a - b - c \leq 3 + 3a$. Clearly, if $d \leq 3 + 3a$ then $\bar{c}(x) \geq 0$. We consider several cases:

Let $a \geq 1$, then $6 \leq 3 + 3a$. From Lemmas 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4 it follows that $d \leq 6$, in total $d \leq 6 \leq 3 + 3a$.

Let $a = 0$; then $3 = 3 + 3a$. Clearly, if $d \leq 3$ then $\bar{c}(x) \geq 0$. From Lemma 3.1 it follows that $d \leq 4$. It remains to consider the case $d = 4$. Since $x$ is a 9-vertex incident to 3-faces only, $x$ can be adjacent to at most four false vertices. Each edge between a false vertex and $x$ can be incident to at most one 6-small 3-face. Since $d = 4$ we have $b = 1$; consequently, $c = 4$. Furthermore, the only true 3-face incident with $x$ is of type $(9, \geq 9, \geq 9)$. So, it holds $\bar{c}(x) = 9 - 4 - \frac{1}{3} \cdot 1 - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{3} = 0$.

**Case V6**: Let $10 \leq k \leq 13$.

Let $a, b, c, d$ and $e$ denote the number of $\geq 4$-faces (true 3-faces, false 3-faces of type $(\geq 7, k, \geq 9)$, false 3-faces of type $(6, k, \geq 9)$ and false 3-faces of type $(5, k, \geq 8)$, respectively) incident to $x$. Note that $a + b + c + d + e = k$ which yields $d + e = k - a - b - c$.

Now, we determine the new charge of vertex $x$. By computing $\bar{c}(x) = k - 4 - \frac{1}{2} \cdot b - \frac{1}{2} \cdot c - \frac{3}{4} \cdot d - \frac{3}{4} \cdot e \geq k - 4 - \frac{1}{2} (b + c) - \frac{3}{4} (d + e) = k - 4 - \frac{1}{2} (b + c) - \frac{3}{4} (a - k - b - c) = k - 4 - \frac{1}{2} (b + c) - \frac{3}{4} (a - k - b - c)$. To ensure the nonnegativity of $\bar{c}(x)$ we need $\frac{1}{4} (a - k - b - c) \geq 0$, which implies $b + c \leq 16 - k - 3a$, hence $d + e \leq k - a - b - c \leq 2k + 2a - 16$. Clearly, if $d + e \leq 2k + 2a - 16$ then $\bar{c}(x) \geq 0$.

We consider several cases:

Let $a \geq 1$. From Lemmas 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4 it follows that $d + e \leq \lfloor \frac{2k}{3} \rfloor$. But, for $10 \leq k \leq 13$, $\lfloor \frac{2k}{3} \rfloor \leq 2k + 14 \leq 2k + 2a - 16$, so $\bar{c}(x) \geq 0$ in this case.

Let $a = 0$. Then $d + e \leq 2k - 16 = 2k + 2a - 16$. In, parts, for $k = 10$ it means that $\bar{c}(x) \geq 0$ if $d + e \leq 4$. On the other hand from Lemma 3.1 for $k = 10$ it follows that $d + e \leq 4$. For $k \in \{11, 12, 13\}$ from Lemma 3.1 it follows that $d + e \leq \lfloor \frac{5}{2} \rfloor$. But, for $k \in \{11, 12, 13\}$, $\lfloor \frac{5}{2} \rfloor \leq 2k - 16$, so $\bar{c}(x) \geq 0$ in this case.

**Case V7**: Let $k \geq 14$.

Let $a, b, c, d, e$ and $f$ denote the number of $\geq 4$-faces (true 3-faces, false 3-faces of type $(\geq 7, k, \geq 9)$, false 3-faces of type $(6, k, \geq 9)$, false 3-faces of type $(5, k, \geq 8)$ and false 3-faces of type $(4, k, \geq 7)$, respectively) incident to $x$. Note that $a + b + c + d + e + f = k$ which implies $d + e + f = k - a - b - c$.

Now, we determine the new charge of vertex $x$: $\bar{c}(x) = k - 4 - \frac{1}{2} \cdot b - \frac{1}{2} \cdot c - \frac{3}{4} \cdot d - \frac{3}{4} \cdot e - f \geq k - 4 - \frac{1}{2} (b + c) - (d + e + f) = k - 4 - \frac{1}{2} (b + c) - (k - a - b - c) = a - 4 + \frac{1}{2} (b + c)$. To ensure the nonnegativity of $\bar{c}(x)$ we need $a - 4 + \frac{1}{2} (b + c) \geq 0$, which implies $b + c \geq 8 - 2a$, hence $d + e + f = k - a - b - c \leq k + a - 8$. Clearly, if $d + e + f \leq k + a - 8$ then $\bar{c}(x) \geq 0$. We consider several cases:

Let $a \geq 3$. From Lemma 3.4 it follows that $d + e + f \leq \lfloor \frac{2k}{3} \rfloor$. But, for $k \geq 14$, $\lfloor \frac{2k}{3} \rfloor \leq k - 5 \leq k + a - 8$, so $\bar{c}(x) \geq 0$ in this case.
Let $a = 2$. From Lemma 3.3 it follows that $d + e + f \leq \lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil + 1$. But, for $k \geq 14$, $\lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil + 1 \leq k - 6 = k + a - 8$, so $\bar{c}(x) \geq 0$ in this case.

Consider the case $a = 1$. From Lemma 3.2 it follows that $d + e + f \leq \lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil$. But, for $k \geq 14$, $\lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil \leq k - 7 = k + a - 8$, so $\bar{c}(x) \geq 0$ in this case.

Finally, let $a = 0$. Then $d + e + f \leq k - 8 = k + a - 8$. Clearly, if $d + e + f \leq k - 8$ then $\bar{c}(x) \geq 0$.

Particularly, for $k = 14$ it follows that $\bar{c}(x) \geq 0$ only if $d + e + f \leq 6$. On the other hand, from Lemma 3.1 for $k = 14$, it follows that $d + e + f \leq 6$. For $k \geq 15$ we have $\lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil \leq k - 8$, so $\bar{c}(x) \geq 0$ in this case.

The proof of Theorem 1 follows from the above solved Claims.

**Corollary 3.1.** Every 1-planar graph of minimum degree 5 contains an edge of type $(5, 9)$ or $(6, 10)$ or $(7, 7)$.

**Corollary 3.2.** Every 1-planar graph of minimum degree 6 contains an edge of type $(6, 8)$ or $(7, 7)$.

Now, as next corollary we get a result, which was proved in [5].

**Corollary 3.3.** Every 1-planar graph of minimum degree 7 contains an edge of type $(7, 7)$.

### 4 Concluding remarks

Now we show that bounds 9 and 8 from Theorem 1 are best possible and that the list of edges is minimal in every case (in the sense that, for each $\delta \geq 4$ and each of the considered edge types there are 1-planar graphs whose set of types of edges contains just the selected edge type). We construct 1-planar graphs of minimum degree $\delta \geq 5$ such that the set of types of its edges contains only one edge type from the list. To show the sharpness of the above mentioned bounds, we use three graphs $A$, $B$ and $C$ from Figures 1, 2(a), 2(b). All of them have a special vertex $v$. The graph $A$ on Figure 1 is an 1-planar graph of minimum degree 5. In the middle of $A$, there are two 9-cycles $C_1$ and $C_2$ (drawn bold). The ring between $C_1$ and $C_2$ is filled with nine copies of grey configuration from Figure 1. It is easy to see that the graph $A$ has only edges of type $(5, 9)$, $(5, 10)$ and $(9, 10)$.

The graph $B$ on Figure 2(a) is an 1-planar graph of minimum degree 6 having only edges of type $(6, 8)$ and $(8, 8)$. It is obtained from a cube graph subdividing each its edge with a new vertex, then inserting additional vertices into all faces, joining them with the subdivision vertices at face boundaries; finally, into each quadrangular face arisen in this way, a pair of crossing diagonals is inserted.

The graph $C$ on Figure 2(b) is a 7-regular 1-planar graph already constructed in [4].
To show that the list of edge types is complete for $\delta = 5$ we construct special graphs in the following way: we take $l > 1$ copies of the graph $B$ or $C$ and identify their special vertex $v$ in one vertex $u$, thereby obtaining the graph $H$. Next, we take five copies $H^{(1)}, \ldots, H^{(5)}$ of $H$, a new vertex $w$ and we add new edges $wu^{(i)}$, $i = 1, \ldots, 5$ (where $u^{(i)}$ is the counterpart of $u \in H$ in $H^{(i)}$). The resulting 1-planar graph is of minimum degree 5, and edge types from the list occur only in the copies of graphs $B$ and $C$. For $\delta = 6$ we proceed similarly with joining copies of graph $C$ to a 6-vertex $w$. From these constructions follows that all types of edges are essential in the result.

For $\delta = 4$ we show that the bound, although probably not the best possible cannot be less than 10: take the graph of Rhomb-Cubo-Octahedron and add to every 4-face $f = \{abcd\}$ two new vertices $u$ and $v$ joining them with vertices $a, b, c, d$ by new edges (see Figure 3). By this construction, we obtain an 1-planar graph of minimum degree 4, with edges of type $(4, 10)$ and $(10, 10)$. By a similar construction as in the previous cases for higher $\delta$ we can construct 1-planar graphs of minimum degree 4 with only one desired type of edges.

Considering the infinite 1-planar graph $S^\times$ which is obtained from the graph $S$ of square tiling of the plane using above described completion of 4-faces, we believe that the best upper bound in the edge type that involves a 4-vertex is less than 12 (as in $S^\times$ there are only edges of types $(4, 12)$ and $(12, 12)$). It is an open question whether there exists an 1-planar graph of minimum degree 4 whose 4-vertices are adjacent only with $\geq 11$-vertices.

The previous corollaries yield the following analogy of Kotzig theorem:
Corollary 4.1. Every 1-planar graph with minimum degree $\delta \geq 4$ contains an edge with weight at most 17. Moreover, if $\delta \geq 5$, then the bound is 14 and is best possible.

Since for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\delta = 1, 2$ the (1-planar) graphs $K_{1,n}$ and $K_{2,n}$ contain only edges with one endvertex of degree $n$, it shows that 1-planar graphs do not contain, in general, light edges. Thus, it remains to resolve the case $\delta = 3$ (note that the proof of Theorem in [4] uses the fact that the analyzed 1-planar graph is 3-connected, hence, it cannot be used for 1-planar graphs of minimum degree 3). To suggest the possible list of edge types in this case, take the graph of a icosahedron. In each 3-face $f = \{abc\}$ put three new vertices $x, y, z$. Then add new edges $ax, ay, az, bx, by, bz, cx, cy, cz$ preserving 1-planarity. The resulting graph is 1-planar of minimum degree 3, with edges of type (3,20) or (20,20). This rises a conjecture:
Conjecture 4.1. Let $G$ be a 1-planar graph of minimum degree $3$. Then $G$ contains an edge of type $(3, \leq 20)$ or $(4, \leq 13)$ or $(5, \leq 9)$ or $(6, \leq 8)$ or $(7, 7)$, respectively.
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