



P. J. ŠAFÁRIK UNIVERSITY
FACULTY OF SCIENCE
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
Jesenná 5, 040 01 Košice, Slovakia



G. Karafová

**Generalized fractional total coloring of
complete graphs**

IM Preprint, series A, No. 5/2011
May 2011

Generalized fractional total coloring of complete graphs

Gabriela Karafová

Institute of Mathematics

P. J. Šafárik University

Jesenná 5, 040 01 Košice, Slovakia

e-mail: gabriela.karafova@student.upjs.sk

Abstract: An additive and hereditary property of graphs is a class of simple graphs which is closed under unions, subgraphs and isomorphism. Let \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} be two additive and hereditary graph properties and let r, s be integers such that $r \geq 2s$. Then $\frac{r}{s}$ -fractional $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -total coloring of a finite graph G is a mapping $f : V \cup E \rightarrow \binom{\{1, 2, \dots, r\}}{s}$ such that for any color i all vertices of color i induce a subgraph from the property \mathcal{P} , all edges of color i induce a subgraph from the property \mathcal{Q} and vertices and incident edges have assigned disjoint sets of colors. The minimum value of the ratio $\frac{r}{s}$ -fractional $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -total coloring of G is called *fractional $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -total chromatic number* $\chi''_{f, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}}(G) = \frac{r}{s}$. Let $k = \sup\{i : K_{i+1} \in \mathcal{P}\}$ and $l = \sup\{i : K_{i+1} \in \mathcal{Q}\}$. We show for a complete graph K_n that if $l \geq k + 2$ then $\chi''_{f, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}}(K_n) = \frac{n}{k+1}$ for a sufficiently large n .

1 Introduction

Total coloring of a graph is a coloring of vertices and edges such that adjacent and incident elements do not have assigned the same color. There are some papers about this theme, for example [1, 3, 6], etc.

The following conjecture is known as the Total Colouring Conjecture and was made in the 1960s by Behzad [1] and Vizing [9]. It has been verified for several special cases of graphs, including, for example, complete graphs (see [2, 6] for surveys).

Conjecture 1. *If G is a graph with maximum degree Δ , then $\Delta(G) + 1 \leq \chi''(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 2$.*

The fractional version of this conjecture was proved by Kilakos and Reed [8] for any graph G , $\chi''_f(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 2$. In this paper we deal with generalized

fractional total coloring of graphs. Let \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} be sets of graphs (called graph properties). We consider a total coloring of a graph G such that adjacent elements can have assigned the same color but we require that subgraphs of G induced by the set of vertices of the same color to be from the property \mathcal{P} and subgraphs of G induced by the set of edges of the same color to be from the property \mathcal{Q} and incident elements can not have assigned the same color. For example if $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{O}$ and $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{O}_1$ then it is ordinary total coloring of a graph. Such properties have also been studied by Borowiecki et al. in [3, 5].

A $\frac{r}{s}$ -fractional $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -total coloring of a finite graph G is a mapping $f : V \cup E \rightarrow \binom{\{1, 2, \dots, r\}}{s}$ such that for any color i all vertices of color i induce a subgraph from the property \mathcal{P} , all edges of color i induce a subgraph from the property \mathcal{Q} and vertices and incident edges have assigned disjoint sets of colors. The minimum value of the ratio of $\frac{r}{s}$ -fractional $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -total coloring of G is called fractional $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -total chromatic number $\chi''_{f, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}}(G) = \frac{r}{s}$.

We deal with $\frac{r}{s}$ -fractional $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -total coloring of complete graphs by using linear programming and simplex method.

The fractional $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -total chromatic number can be obtained as a solution of a problem of linear programming with $|V| + |E|$ inequalities. The main result is that this problem of linear programming for complete graphs is equivalent with another, which has only two inequalities and we can easily solve this problem by simplex method.

2 Definitions and notations

We denote the class of all finite simple graphs by \mathcal{I} . A *graph property* \mathcal{P} is a non-empty isomorphism-closed subclass of \mathcal{I} . A property \mathcal{P} is called *additive* if $G \cup H \in \mathcal{P}$ whenever $G \in \mathcal{P}$ and $H \in \mathcal{P}$. A property \mathcal{P} is called *hereditary* if $G \in \mathcal{P}$ and $H \subseteq G$ implies $H \in \mathcal{P}$.

We use the following standard notations for specific hereditary properties:

$$\mathcal{O} = \{G \in \mathcal{I} : E(G) = \emptyset\},$$

$$\mathcal{O}^k = \{G \in \mathcal{I} : \chi(G) \leq k\},$$

$$\mathcal{D}_k = \{G \in \mathcal{I} : \text{each subgraph of } G \text{ contains a vertex of degree at most } k\},$$

$$\mathcal{T} = \{G \in \mathcal{I} : G \text{ is a planar graph}\},$$

$$\mathcal{I}_k = \{G \in \mathcal{I} : G \text{ does not contain } K_{k+2}\},$$

$$\mathcal{J}_k = \{G \in \mathcal{I} : \chi'(G) \leq k\},$$

$$\mathcal{O}_k = \{G \in \mathcal{I} : \text{each component of } G \text{ has at most } k + 1 \text{ vertices}\},$$

$$\mathcal{S}_k = \{G \in \mathcal{I} : \Delta(G) \leq k\},$$

where $\chi(G)$ is the *chromatic number*, $\chi'(G)$ the *chromatic index* and $\Delta(G)$ the *maximum degree* of the graph $G = (V, E)$.

Borowiecki and Mihók ([5]) dealt with graph properties and showed that the set of all additive and hereditary properties is a complete distributive lattice $(\mathbb{L}^a, \subseteq)$, where \mathcal{O} is the smallest element of it and \mathcal{I} is the greatest one. The set of properties $\mathcal{P} \in \mathbb{L}^a$ with $c(\mathcal{P}) = k, k \in \mathbb{N}$ is also a complete distributive lattice $(\mathbb{L}_k^a, \subseteq)$, with the smallest element \mathcal{O}_k and the greatest \mathcal{I}_k .

A *total coloring* of a graph G is a coloring of the vertices and edges (together the *elements* of G) such that all adjacent and incident elements obtain distinct colors. The minimum number of colors of a total coloring of G is called total chromatic number $\chi''(G)$ of G .

Definition 1. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph. Let $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $2s \leq r$. A $\frac{r}{s}$ -fractional $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -total coloring of G is a mapping $f : V \cup E \rightarrow \binom{\{1, 2, \dots, r\}}{s}$ such that for each color i all vertices of color i induce a subgraph from the property \mathcal{P} , all edges of color i induce a subgraph from the property \mathcal{Q} , moreover the vertices and incident edges have assigned disjoint sets of colors. The fractional $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -total chromatic number is $\chi''_{1,f,\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q}}(G) = \inf\{\frac{r}{s}$ such that there exists $\frac{r}{s}$ -fractional $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -total coloring of $G\}$.

Definition 2. $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -independent set is a subset of $V \cup E$ such that the vertices in this set induce a graph from the property \mathcal{P} , edges induce a graph from the property \mathcal{Q} and moreover vertices and edges are not incident.

Definition 3. Let $I_1, I_2, \dots, I_t, t \in \mathbb{N}$ be all (maximal) $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -independent sets in G . A fractional $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -total coloring of G is a mapping g , which assigns to each set $I_j, j = 1, \dots, t$ a non-negative weight $g(I_j)$ such that $\sum_{u \in I_j} g(I_j) \geq 1$ for each element $u \in V \cup E$. The fractional $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -total chromatic number $\chi''_{2,f,\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q}}(G)$ of G is the least total weight of the fractional $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -total coloring of G .

Note that definitions 1 and 3 of the fractional total chromatic number $\chi''_{f,\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q}}(G)$ are equivalent. Proof is shown in [4].

When we want to find $\chi''_{f,\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q}}(G)$ according to Definition 3, we have to solve the following problem of the linear programming:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^t f(I_j) \rightarrow \min \\ & \sum_{u \in I_j} f(I_j) \geq 1, \quad \forall u \in V \cup E \\ & f(I_j) \geq 0, \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, t \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

3 Main results

Let $\mathcal{P} \supseteq \mathcal{O}$ and $\mathcal{Q} \supseteq \mathcal{O}_1$ be two additive and hereditary graph properties and $G = (V, E)$ be a graph with n vertices. We denote a completeness $k = c(\mathcal{P}) = \sup\{i : K_{i+1} \in \mathcal{P}\}$ correspond to the property \mathcal{P} for the vertices of the graph G and analogously $l = c(\mathcal{Q}) = \sup\{i : K_{i+1} \in \mathcal{Q}\}$ correspond to the property \mathcal{Q} for the edges. Suppose $\chi''_{f,\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q}}(G) = \frac{r}{s}$, where r is the number of used colors, from which we choose s -element subset for every element of graph G . We denote the number of colors used for i vertices by x_i , $i \in \{0, \dots, k+1\}$ and a maximum number of edges, which can be colored by the same color as is used on i vertices as a_i . We can consider a_i as a function of $n-i$ and the property \mathcal{Q} . Denote it as $a(n-i, \mathcal{Q}) = a_i$. It is easy to see that sequence $\{a_i\}_{i=0}^{k+1}$ is decreasing.

In the following we will often use only notation a_i . Obviously $a_i \geq \lfloor \frac{n-i}{l+1} \rfloor \binom{l+1}{2} + \binom{n-i - \lfloor \frac{n-i}{l+1} \rfloor (l+1)}{2}$, because when we use color c for i vertices, we get $n-i$ vertices without color c . Separate these $n-i$ vertices according to the property \mathcal{Q} into sets with $l+1$ vertices. These arising subgraphs have at most $\binom{l+1}{2}$ edges. So all subgraphs consist of at most $\lfloor \frac{n-i}{l+1} \rfloor \binom{l+1}{2}$ edges, which induce subgraph from the property \mathcal{Q} . The number of the residual edges is $\binom{n-i - \lfloor \frac{n-i}{l+1} \rfloor (l+1)}{2}$. The equality holds for $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{O}_l$ and \mathcal{O}_l is the smallest graph property for edges. It means that for other properties strict inequality holds.

The cardinality of multiset of colors used for fractional total coloring of a graph G with n vertices is at least $(n + \binom{n}{2})s$, otherwise we do not have sufficient number of multicolors (colors with their multiplicities) for a correct coloring. So we need at least ns multicolors for the vertices and $\binom{n}{2}s$ for the edges. We get the following two inequalities adequate for finding out of value of $\chi''_{f,\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q}}(G)$:

$$h : \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} x_i \rightarrow \min$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} i x_i \geq ns \tag{2}$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} a_i x_i \geq \frac{n(n-1)}{2} s$$

$$x_i \geq 0, \quad \forall i = 0, \dots, k+1$$

Let $x'_i := \frac{x_i}{s}$. Then problem (2) and the following problem are equivalent.

$$h' : \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} x'_i \rightarrow \min$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} ix'_i &\geq n & (3) \\ \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} a_i x'_i &\geq \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \\ x'_i &\geq 0, \quad \forall i = 0, \dots, k+1 \end{aligned}$$

Now we show that problem (1) and problem (3) are equivalent for complete graphs. It follows that it is sufficient to solve problem (3) only with two inequalities.

Theorem 1. *There exists feasible solution $\mathbf{x}' = (x'_0, x'_1, \dots, x'_{k+1})$ of the problem (3) for each optimal solution of the problem (1) $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{I}) = (f(I_1), f(I_2), \dots, f(I_t))$, $t \in \mathbb{N}$, moreover, $h'(\mathbf{x}') \leq h(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{I}))$. There exists feasible solution of the problem (1) $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{I}) = (f(I_1), f(I_2), \dots, f(I_t))$, $t \in \mathbb{N}$ for each optimal solution of the problem (3) $\mathbf{x}' = (x'_0, x'_1, \dots, x'_{k+1})$, moreover, $h'(\mathbf{x}') \geq h(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{I}))$.*

Proof. Let I_1, \dots, I_t , $t \in \mathbb{N}$ be all $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -independent subsets of $V \cup E$. Suppose that there exists an optimal solution of problem (1) $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{I}) = (f(I_1), f(I_2), \dots, f(I_t))$, $t \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $x'_i = \sum_{|I_j \cap V|=i} f(I_j)$. According to the assumptions

$$\forall u \in V \cup E : \sum_{u \in I_j} f(I_j) \geq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad f(I_j) \geq 0$$

we obtain the following inequality

$$\sum_{\forall v \in V} \sum_{I_j \ni v} f(I_j) \geq n.$$

Then the first inequality that we need holds:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} ix'_i = \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} i \sum_{|I_j \cap V|=i} f(I_j) = \sum_{v \in V} \sum_{I_j \ni v} f(I_j) \geq n.$$

The last equality holds because on both sides is the sum of all weights over all vertices.

Analogously we show inequality for the edges where we have the following constraints from the previous:

$$\sum_{\forall e \in E} \sum_{I_j \ni e} f(I_j) \geq \binom{n}{2};$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} a_i x'_i = \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} a_i \sum_{|I_j \cap V|=i} f(I_j) \geq \sum_{e \in E} \sum_{I_j \ni e} f(I_j) \geq \binom{n}{2}.$$

Now suppose that we have an optimal solution of problem (3) $\mathbf{x}' = (x'_0, x'_1, \dots, x'_{k+1})$ and according to the previous we know that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} ix'_i \geq n$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} x'_i a_i \geq \binom{n}{2}.$$

Denote $I^i := \{I_j : |I_j \cap V| = i \wedge |I_j \cap E| = a_i\}$, $m_i := |I^i|$ and let

$$f(I_j) = \begin{cases} \frac{x'_i}{m_i}, & \text{if } I_j \in I^i, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then the following statement holds:

$$\forall v \in V : \sum_{I_j \ni v} f(I_j) = \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} \sum_{|I_j \cap E|=a_i} \frac{x'_i}{m_i} = \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} \frac{x'_i}{m_i} \cdot \frac{im_i}{n} \geq 1$$

The last equality holds, because when we count all vertices over all I_j from I^i we get a number im_i and independent sets in I^i are symmetric. Therefore we know that each vertex $v \in V$ belongs to $\frac{im_i}{n}$ subsets $I_j \in I^i$ for each $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, k+1\}$. Analogously we count all edges over all sets $I_j \in I^i$ with non-zero weights and again we use the fact that these sets are symmetric. Consequently each edge belongs to $\frac{a_i m_i}{\binom{n}{2}}$ subsets $I_j \in I^i$ for each $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, k+1\}$ and so the following statement is also satisfied.

$$\forall e \in E : \sum_{I_j \ni e} f(I_j) = \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} \sum_{|I_j \cap E|=a_i} \frac{x'_i}{m_i} = \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} \frac{x'_i}{m_i} \cdot \frac{a_i m_i}{\binom{n}{2}} \geq 1$$

Values of the objective functions are the same:

$$h'(\mathbf{x}') = \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} x'_i = \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} f(I^i) = \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} \sum_{|I_j \cap V|=i} f(I_j) = \sum_{j=1}^t f(I_j) = h(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{I})).$$

□

We should consider all maximal independent sets I_j in the one way of the last proof, but we can see that it is sufficient to consider only all maximum from maximal sets.

In the following two theorems we show that for arbitrary additive and hereditary \mathcal{P} is $\chi''_{f, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}}(K_n) = \frac{n}{c(\mathcal{P})+1}$ if $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{I}_l$ or if \mathcal{Q} is also arbitrary and hereditary property with $c(\mathcal{Q}) \geq c(\mathcal{P}) + 2$.

Theorem 2. Let \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q} be two additive and hereditary properties and $c(\mathcal{Q}) \geq c(\mathcal{P}) + 2$. Then there exists $T(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ such that $\chi''_{f, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}}(K_n) = \frac{n}{c(\mathcal{P})+1}$ holds for each $n \geq T(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$.

Proof. Denote $k = c(\mathcal{P})$ and $l = c(\mathcal{Q})$. According to the previous theorem it is sufficient to solve problem (3) to prove this theorem. We rewrite this problem of linear programming to the standard form. We need to use two slack variables $p_1, p_2 \geq 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} h' : \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} x'_i &\rightarrow \min \\ - \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} i x'_i + p_1 &= -n \\ - \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} a_i x'_i + p_2 &= -\frac{n(n-1)}{2} \\ p_1, p_2, x'_i &\geq 0, \quad \forall i = 0, \dots, k+1 \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

We can see that the variables p_1 and p_2 in the basis and we are able to solve the dual problem:

	x'_0	x'_1	x'_2	\dots	x'_{k-1}	x'_k	x'_{k+1}	p_1	p_2	
	1	1	1	\dots	1	1	1	0	0	0
p_1	0	-1	-2	\dots	$-(k-1)$	$-k$	$-(k+1)$	1	0	$-n$
p_2	$-a_0$	$-a_1$	$-a_2$	\dots	$-a_{k-1}$	$-a_k$	$-a_{k+1}$	0	1	$-\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$

A pivot in the first row of this table is $-(k+1)$. We need to get a number 1 instead of the pivot and zeros instead of other numbers in the column with pivot.

	x'_0	x'_1	\dots	x'_k	x'_{k+1}	p_1	p_2	
	1	$\frac{k}{k+1}$	\dots	$\frac{1}{k+1}$	0	$\frac{1}{k+1}$	0	$-\frac{n}{k+1}$
x'_{k+1}	0	$\frac{1}{k+1}$	\dots	$\frac{k}{k+1}$	1	$-\frac{1}{k+1}$	0	$\frac{n}{k+1} \geq 0$
p_2	$-a_0$	$\frac{a_{k+1}}{k+1} - a_1$	\dots	$\frac{ka_{k+1}}{k+1} - a_k$	0	$-\frac{a_{k+1}}{k+1}$	1	$\frac{na_{k+1}}{k+1} - \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$

If $\frac{na_{k+1}}{k+1} - \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \geq 0$ then the optimal value is $h'(x_0^*, \dots, x_{k+1}^*) = h'(0, \dots, 0, \frac{n}{k+1}) = \frac{n}{k+1}$. Now we want to find a relationship between $c(\mathcal{P}) = k$ and $c(\mathcal{Q}) = l$ such that $a_{k+1} \geq \frac{(k+1)(n-1)}{2}$. We know:

$$\binom{n - (k + 1) - \lfloor \frac{n - (k + 1)}{l + 1} \rfloor (l + 1)}{2} \geq 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} a_{k+1} &= \lfloor \frac{n - (k + 1)}{l + 1} \rfloor \binom{l + 1}{2} + \binom{n - (k + 1) - \lfloor \frac{n - (k + 1)}{l + 1} \rfloor (l + 1)}{2} \geq \\ &\geq \lfloor \frac{n - (k + 1)}{l + 1} \rfloor \binom{l + 1}{2} > (\frac{n - (k + 1)}{l + 1} - 1) \binom{l + 1}{2} \\ a_{k+1} &> \frac{(n - (k + 1) - (l + 1))l}{2} \end{aligned}$$

So we want to show that $\frac{(n - (k + 1) - (l + 1))l}{2} \geq \frac{(k + 1)(n - 1)}{2}$ if $l \geq k + 2$:

$$\frac{(n - (k + 1) - (l + 1))l}{2} \geq \frac{(k + 1)(n - 1)}{2} \Leftrightarrow n(l - k - 1) - l(k + 2) - l^2 + k + 1 \geq 0$$

If $l - k - 1 > 0$ we get $n \geq \frac{l^2 + l(k + 2) - k - 1}{l - k - 1}$. We can take this lower bound as $T(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ but the exact value of $T(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ can be lower. If $l - k - 1 < 0$ we get $n < \frac{l^2 + l(k + 2) - k - 1}{l - k - 1} < 0$ and so this case cannot occur. If $l - k - 1 = 0$ then the last inequality is equivalent to the expression $-2l^2 \geq 0$ for each n therefore this case cannot occur, too. □

We get $(k + 2)$ -tuple $h'(x_0^*, \dots, x_{k+1}^*) = h'(0, \dots, 0, \frac{n}{k+1})$ as a optimal solution of problem of linear programming in the previous proof. It means that we use each color on exactly $k + 1$ vertices and a_{k+1} edges. When we do not get any optimal solution after the first step, we get two nonzero variables $x_{k+1}^* = \frac{n}{k+1}$ and some $x_i^* > 0$. In this case we use only colors, which are used exactly on $k + 1$ vertices and colors that are used exactly on i vertices. When we consider $\frac{r}{s}$ - coloring, the number of used colors on exactly i vertices is x_i^* .

Corollary 1. *Let \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q} be two additive and hereditary properties and $c(\mathcal{Q}) \geq c(\mathcal{P}) + 2$. Then $\chi''_{f, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}}(K_n) = \frac{n}{c(\mathcal{P})+1}$ if and only if there exists a graph from the property \mathcal{Q} on $n - (c(\mathcal{P}) + 1)$ vertices with at least $\frac{(n-1)(c(\mathcal{P})+1)}{2}$ edges.*

The result in the following theorem was proved by A.Kemnitz et al. in [7] by constructing of coloring. We prove this result by using results from this paper.

Theorem 3. *Let \mathcal{P} be additive and hereditary property and $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{I}_l$. There exists $T(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{I}_l)$ such that for each $n \geq T(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{I}_l)$ it holds that $\chi''_{f, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{I}_l}(K_n) = \frac{n}{c(\mathcal{P})+1}$.*

Proof. Denote $k = c(\mathcal{P})$. This proof is the same as proof of the theorem 2, but we know precise value of a_i for $0 \leq i \leq k + 1$ according to well known Turan's theorem. Whereas each $(l + 1)$ -partite graph does not contain any complete graph on $l + 2$ vertices as a subgraph, we can divide vertices into $l + 1$ equable sets. We have $n - i - (l + 1) \lfloor \frac{n-i}{l+1} \rfloor < l + 1$ sets with $\lceil \frac{n-i}{l+1} \rceil$ vertices and $l + 1 - (n - i - (l + 1) \lfloor \frac{n-i}{l+1} \rfloor)$ sets with $\lfloor \frac{n-i}{l+1} \rfloor$ vertices. Therefore a_i is number of edges such complete $(l + 1)$ -partite graph:

$$a_i = \left\lceil \frac{n-i}{l+1} \right\rceil^{n-i-(l+1)\lfloor \frac{n-i}{l+1} \rfloor} \cdot \left\lfloor \frac{n-i}{l+1} \right\rfloor^{l+1-(n-i-(l+1)\lfloor \frac{n-i}{l+1} \rfloor)}$$

According to the previous proof we need to find out if $\frac{na_{k+1}}{k+1} - \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \geq 0$. We want to know if for each l and k there exists $T(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{I}_l)$ such that for each $n \geq T(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{I}_l)$ the following inequality is satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\lceil \frac{n-(k+1)}{l+1} \right\rceil^{n-(k+1)-(l+1)\lfloor \frac{n-(k+1)}{l+1} \rfloor} \cdot \left\lfloor \frac{n-(k+1)}{l+1} \right\rfloor^{l+1-(n-(k+1)-(l+1)\lfloor \frac{n-(k+1)}{l+1} \rfloor)} \\ & \geq \frac{(n-1)(k+1)}{2} \end{aligned}$$

We know that the following inequalities hold:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\lceil \frac{n-(k+1)}{l+1} \right\rceil^{n-(k+1)-(l+1)\lfloor \frac{n-(k+1)}{l+1} \rfloor} \cdot \left\lfloor \frac{n-(k+1)}{l+1} \right\rfloor^{l+1-(n-(k+1)-(l+1)\lfloor \frac{n-(k+1)}{l+1} \rfloor)} \\ & \geq \left\lfloor \frac{n-(k+1)}{l+1} \right\rfloor^{l+1} \geq \left(\frac{n-(k+1)}{l+1} - 1 \right)^{l+1} = \left(\frac{n-(k+1)-(l+1)}{l+1} \right)^{l+1} \\ & \geq \frac{(n-1)(k+1)}{2} \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality is obvious, because there exists $T(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{I}_l)$ such that for each $n \geq T(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{I}_l)$ this polynomial of unknown n is non-negative. \square

Theorem 4. $\chi''_{f, \mathcal{D}_1, \mathcal{D}_1}(K_n) = \frac{n(n+1)}{2(n-1)}$ for each integer $n \geq 3$.

Proof. We have here $a_i = (n - i) - 1$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$, because graph property \mathcal{D}_1 is a class of forests. Every a_i is non-negative. According to the previous we solve the following problem of linear programming:

$$\begin{aligned} & x'_0 + x'_1 + x'_2 \rightarrow \min \\ & x'_1 + 2x'_2 \geq n \\ & (n-1)x'_0 + (n-2)x'_1 + (n-3)x'_2 \geq \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \\ & x'_0, x'_1, x'_2 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

	x'_0	x'_1	x'_2	p_1	p_2	
	1	1	1	0	0	0
p_1	0	-1	-2	1	0	-n
p_2	$1 - n$	$2 - n$	$3 - n$	0	1	$-\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$

After pivoting according to -2 in the p_1 -row:

	x'_0	x'_1	x'_2	p_1	p_2	
	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$-\frac{n}{2}$
x'_2	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	$-\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{n}{2}$
p_2	$1 - n$	$\frac{1-n}{2}$	0	$\frac{3-n}{2}$	1	-n

After second pivoting according to $1 - n$ in p_2 -row:

	x'_0	x'_1	x'_2	p_1	p_2	
	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{n-1}$	$\frac{1}{n-1}$	$-\frac{n(n+1)}{2(n-1)}$
x'_2	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	$-\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{n}{2}$
x'_0	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{3-n}{2(1-n)}$	$\frac{1}{1-n}$	$\frac{n}{n-1}$

For $n \geq 3$ there are satisfied all conditions that are required for table in optimum. □

Acknowledgement. This work was supported by the Slovak VEGA Grant under the contract No. VEGA 1/0428/10 and VVGS PF 46/2010/M.

References

- [1] M. Behzad, *Graphs and their chromatic numbers*, Doctoral Thesis, Michigan state University, 1965
- [2] M. Behzad, *The total chromatic number of a graph: a survey*, in: D.J.A.Welsh, ed., *Combinatorial Mathematics and its Applications* (Academic Press, London, 1971) 1-10.
- [3] M. Borowiecki, I. Broere, M. Frick, P. Mihók and G. Semanišin, *A survey of hereditary properties of graphs*, *Discuss. Math. Graph Theory* 17 (1997), pp. 5–50. MathSciNet
- [4] M. Borowiecki, A. Kemnitz, M. Marangio, P. Mihók: *Generalized total colorings of graphs*, 2009

-
- [5] M. Borowiecki and P. Mihók: *Hereditary properties of graphs*, In: V.R. Kulli, Editor, *Advances in Graph Theory*, Vishwa International Publication, Gulbarga (1991), pp. 41–68.
 - [6] A. Chetwynd, *Total Colourings*, in: R.Nelson and R.J.Wilson ed., *Graphs Colourings*, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics No.218 (London, 1990) 65-77.
 - [7] A. Kemnitz, M. Marangio, P. Mihók, J. Oravcová, R. Soták: *Generalized fractional and circular total colorings of graphs*, (2010), preprint
 - [8] K. Kilakos, B. Reed, *Fractionally colouring total graphs*, *Combinatorica* 13(4)(1993) 435-440.
 - [9] V. G. Vizing, *Some unsolved problems in graph theory*, *Russian Math. Surveys* 23 (1968) 125-141.

Recent IM Preprints, series A

2007

- 1/2007 Haluška J. and Hutník O.: *On product measures in complete bornological locally convex spaces*
- 2/2007 Cichacz S. and Horňák M.: *Decomposition of bipartite graphs into closed trails*
- 3/2007 Hajduková J.: *Condorcet winner configurations in the facility location problem*
- 4/2007 Kovárová I. and Mihalčová J.: *Vplyv riešenia jednej difúznej úlohy a následný rozbor na riešenie druhej difúznej úlohy o 12-tich kockách*
- 5/2007 Kovárová I. and Mihalčová J.: *Prieskum tvorivosti v žiackych riešeniach vágne formulovanej úlohy*
- 6/2007 Haluška J. and Hutník O.: *On Dobrakov net submeasures*
- 7/2007 Jendroľ S., Miškuf J., Soták R. and Škrabuláková E.: *Rainbow faces in edge colored plane graphs*
- 8/2007 Fabrici I., Horňák M. and Jendroľ S., ed.: *Workshop Cycles and Colourings 2007*
- 9/2007 Cechlárová K.: *On coalitional resource games with shared resources*

2008

- 1/2008 Miškuf J., Škrekovski R. and Tancer M.: *Backbone colorings of graphs with bounded degree*
- 2/2008 Miškuf J., Škrekovski R. and Tancer M.: *Backbone colorings and generalized Mycielski's graphs*
- 3/2008 Mojsej I.: *On the existence of nonoscillatory solutions of third order nonlinear differential equations*
- 4/2008 Cechlárová K. and Fleiner T.: *On the house allocation markets with duplicate houses*
- 5/2008 Hutník O.: *On Toeplitz-type operators related to wavelets*
- 6/2008 Cechlárová K.: *On the complexity of the Shapley-Scarf economy with several types of goods*
- 7/2008 Zlámalová J.: *A note on cyclic chromatic number*
- 8/2008 Fabrici I., Horňák M. and Jendroľ S., ed.: *Workshop Cycles and Colourings 2008*
- 9/2008 Czap J. and Jendroľ S.: *Colouring vertices of plane graphs under restrictions given by faces*

2009

- 1/2009 Zlámalová J.: *On cyclic chromatic number of plane graphs*
- 2/2009 Havet F., Jendroľ S., Soták R. and Škrabuláková E.: *Facial non-repetitive edge-colouring of plane graphs*
- 3/2009 Czap J., Jendroľ S., Kardoš F. and Miškuf J.: *Looseness of plane graphs*
- 4/2009 Hutník O.: *On vector-valued Dobrakov submeasures*
- 5/2009 Haluška J. and Hutník O.: *On domination and bornological product measures*
- 6/2009 Kolková M. and Pócssová J.: *Metóda Monte Carlo na hodine matematiky*
- 7/2009 Borbel'ová V. and Cechlárová K.: *Rotations in the stable b-matching problem*
- 8/2009 Mojsej I. and Tartal'ová A.: *On bounded nonoscillatory solutions of third-order nonlinear differential equations*

- 9/2009 Jendroľ S. and Škrabul'áková E.: *Facial non-repetitive edge-colouring of semiregular polyhedra*
- 10/2009 Krajčiová J. and Pócssová J.: *Galtonova doska na hodine matematiky, kvalitatívne určenie veľkosti pravdepodobnosti udalostí*
- 11/2009 Fabrici I., Hornák M. and Jendroľ S., ed.: *Workshop Cycles and Colourings 2009*
- 12/2009 Hudák D. and Madaras T.: *On local properties of 1-planar graphs with high minimum degree*
- 13/2009 Czap J., Jendroľ S. and Kardoš F.: *Facial parity edge colouring*
- 14/2009 Czap J., Jendroľ S. and Kardoš F.: *On the strong parity chromatic number*

2010

- 1/2010 Cechlárová K. and Pillárová E.: *A near equitable 2-person cake cutting algorithm*
- 2/2010 Cechlárová K. and Jelínková E.: *An efficient implementation of the equilibrium algorithm for housing markets with duplicate houses*
- 3/2010 Hutník O. and Hutníková M.: *An alternative description of Gabor spaces and Gabor-Toeplitz operators*
- 4/2010 Žežula I. and Klein D.: *Orthogonal decompositions in growth curve models*
- 5/2010 Czap J., Jendroľ S., Kardoš F. and Soták R.: *Facial parity edge colouring of plane pseudographs*
- 6/2010 Czap J., Jendroľ S. and Voigt M.: *Parity vertex colouring of plane graphs*
- 7/2010 Jakubíková-Studenovská D. and Petrejčíková M.: *Complementary quasiorder lattices of monounary algebras*
- 8/2010 Cechlárová K. and Fleiner T.: *Optimization of an SMD placement machine and flows in parametric networks*
- 9/2010 Skřivánková V. and Juhás M.: *Records in non-life insurance*
- 10/2010 Cechlárová K. and Schlotter I.: *Computing the deficiency of housing markets with duplicate houses*
- 11/2010 Skřivánková V. and Juhás M.: *Characterization of standard extreme value distributions using records*
- 12/2010 Fabrici I., Hornák M. and Jendroľ S., ed.: *Workshop Cycles and Colourings 2010*

2011

- 1/2011 Cechlárová K. and Repiský M.: *On the structure of the core of housing markets*
- 2/2011 Hudák D. and Šugerek P.: *Light edges in 1-planar graphs with prescribed minimum degree*
- 3/2011 Cechlárová K. and Jelínková E.: *Approximability of economic equilibrium for housing markets with duplicate houses*
- 4/2011 Cechlárová K., Doboš J. and Pillárová E.: *On the existence of equitable cake divisions*